



**UPDATED LOCATION:**  
**City Hall Conference Room**  
**1480 Main Street, St. Helena**

**City of St. Helena**  
**Special City Council Meeting**  
**Wednesday, October 11, 2017 @ 3:15 PM**

Page

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL  
Mayor: Alan Galbraith, Vice Mayor: Peter White, City Council: Paul Dohring, Geoff Ellsworth and Mary Koberstein
3. PUBLIC COMMENT:  
Under California law, public comments at special meetings are limited to subjects on the agenda only. Therefore public comment will take place during consideration of the item. Each person's comments shall be limited to 3 minutes.
4. NEW BUSINESS  
*\*Agenda materials will be posted and accessible as soon as they are available or provided at the meeting.*
  - 4.1. Proclamation of the Existence of a Local Emergency 3 - 4  
  
CEQA Determination: Not a CEQA project  
Prepared By: Mark Prestwich, City Manager  
Recommendation:  
Adopt a resolution proclaiming the existence of a local emergency.  
[Resolution](#)
  - 4.2. Consideration and proposed adoption of a resolution approving the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List (FY 17/18) 5 - 54  
  
CEQA Determination: Exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to Section 15301, Class 1, which exempts the operation, leasing, or minor alteration of existing facilities  
Prepared By: Erica Ahmann Smithies, Public Works Director and City Engineer  
Recommendation:  
Adopt a resolution approving the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List for FY 2017/18.  
[Staff Report](#)
5. ADJOURNMENT  
The next Regular City Council meeting is scheduled for October 24, 2017, at

6:00 p.m. in the Vintage Hall Board Room located at 465 Main Street.

This agenda was posted at City Hall, 1480 Main Street, and at Vintage Hall, 465 Main Street, St. Helena, California on October 10, 2017 at 3:10 pm.



Cindy Tzaopoulos, City Clerk

In Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerk's Office (707) 967-2792. Notification 72 hours prior to the meeting as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

**CITY OF ST.HELENA  
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. HELENA  
PROCLAIMING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY**

**RECITALS**

- A. Government Code Section 8630 and City of St. Helena Municipal Code Chapter 2.64 authorizes the City Council when in session to proclaim the existence or threatened existence of a local emergency.
- B. On the evening of October 8, 2017, City of St. Helena Police, Fire and Public Works resources were deployed locally and to the adjacent communities of Napa County, City of Napa and the City of Calistoga to assist in emergency fire mitigation and evacuation efforts associated with the Tubbs Lane and Atlas Peak fires.
- C. The City of St. Helena public safety and public works staff continue to assist with local and regional fire mitigation and preparation efforts.
- D. The City Council finds the threatened existence of a local emergency to the safety of persons and property within the City of St. Helena began on or about 10:00 p.m. on October 8, 2017 due to emergence of the Atlas Peak and Tubbs lane fires in Napa County, and that such conditions continue to exist, and that such conditions warrant a proclamation of local emergency.
- E. Circumstances exist in Napa County that have already prompted a Proclamation of a State of Emergency by the California Governor and a Declaration of an Emergency or Major Disaster Declaration by the President of the United States.

**RESOLUTION**

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of St. Helena resolves as follows:

- 1. The City Council hereby proclaims the existence of a local emergency.
- 2. The City Council confirms the findings of the existence and threatened existence of a local emergency to the safety of persons and property which arose within the City of St. Helena on or about 10:00 p.m. on October 8, 2017 due to the Atlas Peak and Tubbs lane fires in Napa County, and that such conditions continue to

exist, and that such conditions warranted and continue to warrant a proclamation of local emergency.

3. The City Council shall review this confirmation and continuance of the proclamation of local emergency at least every 21 days until terminated, to determine the need for continuing the local emergency and this Council shall proclaim termination of the local emergency at the earliest possible date that conditions warrant.
4. The local emergency shall be deemed to continue until terminated by the City Council.

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that this Resolution was adopted at a special meeting of the City Council held on October 11, 2017 by the following roll call vote:

**Mayor Galbraith:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Vice Mayor White:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Councilmember Dohring:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Councilmember Ellsworth:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Councilmember Koberstein:** \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alan Galbraith, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cindy Tzafopoulos, City Clerk



Report to the City Council  
Council Meeting of October 11, 2017

**Agenda Section: New Business**

**Subject:** Consideration and proposed adoption of a resolution approving the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List (FY 17/18)

**CEQA Determination:** Exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to Section 15301, Class 1, which exempts the operation, leasing, or minor alteration of existing facilities

**Prepared By:** Erica Ahmann Smithies, Public Works Director and City Engineer

**Reviewed By:**

**Approved By:** Mark Prestwich, City Manager

---

---

**BACKGROUND**

On April 28, 2017, the Governor signed Senate Bill (SB) 1, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. SB 1 increases per gallon fuel excise taxes; increases diesel fuel sales taxes and vehicle registration fees; and provides for inflationary adjustments to tax rates in future years. Beginning November 1, 2017, the State Controller (Controller) will deposit various portions of this new funding into the newly created Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA).

A percentage of the new RMRA funding will be apportioned by formula to eligible cities and counties pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2032(h) for basic road maintenance, rehabilitation, and critical safety projects on the local streets and roads system. The adopted FY17/18 Operations Budget included an anticipated RMRA revenue to the City for FY17/18 in the amount of \$40,893 and future years will grow to approximately \$116,020 annually. The difference is that the revenue collection for FY17/18 does not start until November 1, 2017 and will start being deposited in mid-January 2018. The recommended action will ensure the City receives these revenues as anticipated.

Prior to receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds in FY 17/18, the City is required to submit to the California Transportation Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded by October 16, 2017. The list of projects proposed to be funded with these funds shall include:

- A description of the project;
- The location of each proposed project;
- A proposed schedule for the project's completion; and
- The estimated useful life of the improvement.

On September 26, 2017, City Council requested to continue this item and directed staff to add Kennedy Court, El Bonita Avenue and La Quinta Way to the SB 1 list for repairs in FY 17/18 and remove Railroad Avenue. Additionally, Staff was directed to provide a summary of all planned street improvement/maintenance projects for FY 17/18, noting that the City has appropriated additional General Fund resources for annual street repairs. Staff will bring this information back to City Council at a regular scheduled meeting in the near future.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Staff has made the requested modifications to the SB 1 list and the funding will be transferred to the following projects:

- Pavement Restoration Project R18-79: City staff did not identify a specific street for the FY 17/18 Pavement Restoration Project R18-79 prior to adoption, but per Council direction, City staff will designate Kennedy Court, La Quinta Way and El Bonita Avenue as the proposed rehabilitation project for this year.
- Oak Avenue Storm Drain Repair R18-82: The Oak Avenue Storm Drain Repair R18-82 came up very recently and is eligible for RMRA funding. The storm drain and street repairs on Oak Avenue between the vicinity of Spring Street to Mitchell Drive need to take place immediately and the RMRA funds can offset additional unknown costs.

The adopted project list will not limit the flexibility of the City to fund projects in accordance with local needs and priorities so long as the projects are consistent with SB 1 and adopted by City Council. Staff recommends that City Council approve the RMRA Project List and respective streets noted above for FY 17/18 in order to meet the October 16 deadline.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

On August 8, 2017, City Council adopted the 2017-2022 Capital Improvement Plan and FY 17/18 Annual Budget which includes the Pavement Restoration Project R18-79 in the amount of \$300,000 (\$250,000 General Fund, \$50,000 Gas Tax). On September 26, 2017, City Council adopted a resolution to create the Oak Avenue Storm Drain Repair R18-82 project and transfer \$65,000 of Gas Tax funds to the project budget.

The adopted FY 17/18 Capital Improvements Plan Budget includes \$295,000 in General Funds for City Streets. In order to be compliant with receiving the RMRA funds, the City is required to provide an annual general fund maintenance of effort (MOE) towards streets in the amount of \$314,571. The MOE amount is based on the City's average general fund spending effort in fiscal years 08/09, 09/10, and 10/11.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Adopt a resolution approving the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List for FY 2017/18.

**ATTACHMENTS**

[Attch1 Final LSR Program Reporting Guidelines](#)

[Attch2 RMRA MOE](#)

[Attch3 2017-18 LSR Project List rev](#)

[Attch4 RMRA Project List RESO](#)

**THE ROAD REPAIR AND  
ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017**

**2017 LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS  
FUNDING**

**ANNUAL REPORTING GUIDELINES**

*August 2017*

**California Transportation Commission**





# **2017 LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS FUNDING ANNUAL REPORTING GUIDELINES**

Adopted by the California Transportation Commission on  
August 16, 2017

Pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code Section 2034

## Commissioners

Bob Alvarado – Chair  
Fran Inman – Vice Chair  
Yvonne B. Burke  
Lucetta Dunn  
James Earp  
James C. Ghielmetti  
Carl Guardino  
Christine Kehoe  
James Madaffer  
Joseph Tavaglione

Senator Jim Beall – Ex Officio  
Assembly Member Jim Frazier– Ex Officio

Susan Bransen – Executive Director

**CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
THE ROAD REPAIR AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017**

**ANNUAL REPORTING GUIDELINES FOR LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS FUNDING**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|             |                                                                                             |           |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>Introduction .....</b>                                                                   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.          | Background and Purpose of Reporting Guidelines.....                                         | 1         |
| 2.          | Program Objectives and Statutory Requirements.....                                          | 1         |
| 3.          | Program Roles and Responsibilities .....                                                    | 3         |
| 4.          | Program Schedule .....                                                                      | 4         |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>Funding.....</b>                                                                         | <b>4</b>  |
| 5.          | Source .....                                                                                | 4         |
| 6.          | Estimation and Disbursement of Funds.....                                                   | 5         |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>Eligibility and Program Priorities .....</b>                                             | <b>5</b>  |
| 7.          | Eligible Recipients .....                                                                   | 5         |
| 8.          | Program Priorities and Example Projects .....                                               | 5         |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>Project List Submittal.....</b>                                                          | <b>6</b>  |
| 9.          | Content and Format of Project List .....                                                    | 6         |
| 10.         | Process and Schedule for Project List Submittal.....                                        | 10        |
| 11.         | Commission Submittal of Eligible Entities to the State Controller's Office.....             | 10        |
| <b>V.</b>   | <b>Project Expenditure Reporting and Auditing .....</b>                                     | <b>11</b> |
| 12.         | Scope of Completed Project Expenditure Report.....                                          | 11        |
| 13.         | Process and Schedule for Project Report Submittal.....                                      | 13        |
| 14.         | Commission Reporting of Project Information Received.....                                   | 13        |
| 15.         | State Controller Expenditure Reporting and Maintenance of Effort Monitoring....             | 14        |
| 16.         | Workforce Development Requirements and Project Signage.....                                 | 16        |
|             | <b>Appendix A – Local Streets and Roads Project List Form.....</b>                          | <b>17</b> |
|             | <b>Appendix B - Local Streets and Roads Completed Project Expenditure Report Form .....</b> | <b>19</b> |
|             | <b>Appendix C – Local Streets and Roads Program Schedule.....</b>                           | <b>21</b> |

## **I. Introduction**

### **1. Background and Purpose of Reporting Guidelines**

On April 28, 2017 the Governor signed Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Beall, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), which is known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. To address basic road maintenance, rehabilitation and critical safety needs on both the state highway and local streets and road system, SB 1: increases per gallon fuel excise taxes; increases diesel fuel sales taxes and vehicle registration fees; and provides for inflationary adjustments to tax rates in future years.

Beginning November 1, 2017, the State Controller (Controller) will deposit various portions of this new funding into the newly created Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA). A percentage of this new RMRA funding will be apportioned by formula to eligible cities and counties pursuant to Streets and Highways Code (SHC) Section 2032(h) for basic road maintenance, rehabilitation, and critical safety projects on the local streets and roads system. For a detailed breakdown of RMRA funding sources and the disbursement of funding please see Sections 5 and 6 of these guidelines.

SB 1 emphasizes the importance of accountability and transparency in the delivery of California's transportation programs. Therefore, in order to be eligible for RMRA funding, statute requires cities and counties to provide basic annual RMRA project reporting to the California Transportation Commission (Commission).

These guidelines describe the general policies and procedures for carrying out the annual RMRA project reporting requirements for cities and counties and other statutory objectives as outlined in Section 2 below. The guidelines were developed in consultation with state, regional, and local government entities and other transportation stakeholders.

The Commission may amend these guidelines after first giving notice of the proposed amendments. In order to provide clear and timely guidance, it is the Commission's policy that a reasonable effort be made to amend the guidelines prior to the due date for project lists or the Commission may extend the deadline for project list submission in order to facilitate compliance with the amended guidelines.

### **2. Program Objectives and Statutory Requirements**

Streets and Highways Code (SHC) Section 2032.5(a) articulates the general intent of the legislation that recipients of RMRA funding be held accountable for the efficient investment of public funds to maintain local streets and roads and are accountable to the people through performance goals that are tracked and reported.

Pursuant to SHC Section 2030(a), the objective of the Local Streets and Roads Program is to address deferred maintenance on the local streets and roads system through the prioritization and delivery of basic road maintenance and rehabilitation projects as well as critical safety projects.

Cities and counties receiving RMRA funds must comply with all relevant federal and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures. The main requirements for the program are codified in SHC Sections 2034, 2036, 2037, and 2038 and include the following:

California Transportation Commission  
 2017 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program  
 Annual Reporting Guidelines

August 2017

- Prior to receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds from the Controller in a fiscal year, a city or county must submit to the Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded with these funds. All projects proposed to receive funding must be included in a city or county budget that is adopted by the applicable city council or county board of supervisors at a regular public meeting [SHC 2034(a)(1)].
- The list of projects must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for the project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement [SHC 2034(a)(1)]. Further guidance regarding the scope, content, and submittal process for project lists prepared by cities and counties is provided in Sections 9-10.
- The project list does not limit the flexibility of an eligible city or county to fund projects in accordance with local needs and priorities so long as the projects are consistent with RMRA priorities as outlined in SHC 2030(b) [SHC 2034(a)(1)].
- The Commission will report to the Controller the cities and counties that have submitted a list of projects as described in SHC 2034(a)(1) and that are therefore eligible to receive an apportionment of RMRA funds for the applicable fiscal year [SHC 2034(a)(2)].
- The Controller, upon receipt of the report from the Commission, shall apportion RMRA funds to eligible cities and counties pursuant to SHC 2032(h) [SHC 2034(a)(2)].
- For each fiscal year in which RMRA funds are received and expended, cities and counties must submit documentation to the Commission that includes a description and location of each completed project, the amount of funds expended on the project, the completion date, and the estimated useful life of the improvement [SHC 2034(b)]. Further guidance regarding the scope, content, and submittal process for program expenditure reports is provided in Sections 12-13.
- A city or county receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds is required to sustain a maintenance of effort (MOE) by spending at least the annual average of its general fund expenditures during the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years for street, road, and highway purposes from the city's or county's general fund [SHC 2036]. Monitoring and enforcement of the maintenance of effort requirement for RMRA funds will be carried out by the Controller and is addressed in more detail in Section 15.
- A city or county may spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than priorities outlined in SHC 2030(b) if the city or county's average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) meets or exceeds 80 [SHC 2037].
- By July 1, 2023, cities and counties receiving RMRA funds must follow guidelines developed by the California Workforce Development Board (Board) that address participation and investment in, or partnership with, new or existing pre-apprenticeship training programs [SHC 2038]. Further information regarding the forthcoming Board Guidelines and future Board-sponsored grant opportunities is available in Section 16.

### 3. Program Roles and Responsibilities

Below is a general outline of the roles and responsibilities of recipient cities/counties, the Commission, the Controller, and the California Workforce Development Board, in carrying out the program's statutory requirements, as well as activities the Commission will undertake to meet the legislative intent of SB 1:

#### Recipient Cities/Counties:

- Develop and submit a list of projects to the Commission each fiscal year.
- Develop and submit a project expenditure report to the Commission each fiscal year.
- Comply with all requirements including reporting requirements for RMRA funding.

#### Commission:

- Provide technical assistance to cities and counties in the preparation of project lists and reports.
- Receive project lists from cities and counties each fiscal year.
- Provide a comprehensive list to the Controller each fiscal year of cities and counties eligible to receive RMRA apportionments.
- Receive program expenditure reports from cities and counties each fiscal year and provide aggregated statewide information regarding use of RMRA funds to the Legislature and the public (e.g. the Commission's Annual Report to the Legislature and a SB 1 Accountability Website).

#### Controller:

- Receive list of cities and counties eligible for RMRA apportionments each fiscal year from the Commission.
- Apportion RMRA funds to cities and counties.
- Oversee Maintenance of Effort and other requirements for RMRA funds including reporting required pursuant to SHC 2151.

#### California Workforce Development Board:

- Pursuant to SHC 2038, establish a pre-apprenticeship development and training grant program beginning January 1, 2019 that local public agencies receiving RMRA funds are eligible to apply for or partner with other entities to apply for.
- Pursuant to SHC 2038, develop guidelines for public agencies receiving RMRA funds to participate, invest in, or partner with, new or existing pre-apprenticeship training programs. Local public agencies receiving RMRA funds must follow the guidelines by no later than July 1, 2023.

#### 4. Program Schedule

The following schedule lists the major milestones for the development of the 2017 Local Streets and Roads Funding Annual Reporting Guidelines, initial submittal of project lists, and transmittal of eligibility list to the Controller. See Appendix C for a more detailed program schedule.

|                                                        |                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Draft Guidelines Circulated for Public Review          | June 19 – July 10, 2017      |
| Commission Adoption of Guidelines                      | August 16-17, 2017           |
| Technical Assistance and Outreach to Cities/Counties   | August 18 – October 16, 2017 |
| Project Lists due to Commission                        | October 16 , 2017            |
| Commission Adopts List of Eligible Cities and Counties | December 6-7 , 2017          |
| Commission Submits List to Controller                  | December 6-7, 2017           |
| Controller FY 17-18 Apportionments Begin               | Mid-January 2018             |

## II. Funding

### 5. Source

The State of California imposes per-gallon excise taxes on gasoline and diesel fuel, sales taxes on diesel fuel, and registration taxes on motor vehicles and dedicates these revenues to transportation purposes. Portions of these revenues flow to cities and counties through the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) and the newly established RMRA created by SB 1.

The Local Streets and Roads Funding Program administered by the Commission in partnership with the Controller is supported by RMRA funding which includes portions of revenues pursuant to SHC 2031 from the following sources:

- An additional 12 cent per gallon increase to the gasoline excise tax effective November 1, 2017.
- An additional 20 cent per gallon increase to the diesel fuel excise tax effective November 1, 2017.
- An additional vehicle registration tax called the “Transportation Improvement Fee” with rates based on the value of the motor vehicle effective January 1, 2018.
- An additional \$100 vehicle registration tax on zero emissions (ZEV) vehicles of model year 2020 or later effective July 1, 2020.
- Annual rate increases to these taxes beginning on July 1, 2020 (July 1, 2021 for the ZEV fee) and every July 1<sup>st</sup> thereafter equal to the change in the California Consumer Price Index (CPI).

SHC 2032(h)(2) specifies that 50 percent of the balance of revenues deposited into the RMRA, after certain funding is set aside for various programs, will be continuously appropriated for apportionment to cities and counties by the Controller pursuant to the formula in SHC Section 2103(a)(3)(C)(i) and (ii).

## 6. Estimation and Disbursement of Funds

While neither, the Commission nor the State Controller's Office prepare formal estimates of RMRA funds, the Department of Finance (DOF) estimates the total amount of funding that will be deposited into the RMRA annually. The California State Association of Counties and the League of California Cities use this information from DOF to develop city and county level estimates of RMRA funds which are available here:

California State Association of Counties

<http://www.counties.org/sb-1-road-repair-and-accountability-act-2017>

League of California Cities

<http://www.californiacityfinance.com/>

Each fiscal year, upon receipt of a list of cities and counties that are eligible to receive an apportionment of RMRA funds pursuant to SHC 2032(h)(2) from the Commission, the Controller is required to apportion RMRA funds to eligible cities and counties consistent with the formula outlined in SHC Section 2103(a)(3)(C)(i) and (ii). It is expected that the Controller will continuously apportion RMRA funds on a monthly basis to eligible cities and counties using a process and system similar to that of HUTA apportionments. RMRA funding is continuously apportioned and is not provided on a reimbursement basis.

The Commission does not approve project lists and provide authorization to proceed with RMRA funded projects. The Commission receives project lists, determines they are complete and meet basic statutory requirements outlined in SHC 2034 and then approves and submits a statewide list to the Controller of cities and counties that are eligible to begin receiving monthly RMRA funding apportionments.

## III. Eligibility and Program Priorities

### 7. Eligible Recipients

Eligible recipients of RMRA funding apportionments include cities and counties that have prepared and submitted a project list to the Commission pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(1) and that have been included in a list of eligible entities submitted by the Commission to the Controller pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(2).

Recipients of RMRA apportionments must comply with all relevant federal and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures.

### 8. Program Priorities and Example Projects

Pursuant to SHC Section 2030(a), RMRA funds made available for the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program shall be prioritized for expenditure on basic road maintenance and rehabilitation projects, and on critical safety projects.

SHC Section 2030(b)(1) provides a number of example projects and uses for RMRA funding that include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation
- Safety Projects

- Railroad Grade Separations
- Complete Streets Components (including active transportation purposes, pedestrian and bicycle safety projects, transit facilities, and drainage and stormwater capture projects in conjunction with any other allowable project)
- Traffic Control Devices

SHC Section 2030(b)(2) states that funds made available by the program may also be used to satisfy a match requirement in order to obtain state or federal funds for projects authorized by this subdivision.

SHC Section 2030(c)-(f) specifies additional project elements that will be incorporated into RMRA-funded projects by cities and counties to the extent possible and cost effective, and where feasible (as deemed by cities and counties). These elements are:

- Technologies and material recycling techniques that lower greenhouse gas emissions and reduce the cost of maintaining local streets and roads through material choice and construction method.
- Systems and components in transportation infrastructure that recognize and accommodate technologies including but not limited to ZEV fueling or charging and infrastructure-vehicles communications for transitional or fully autonomous vehicles.
- Project features to better adapt the transportation asset to withstand the negative effects of climate change and promote resiliency to impacts such as fires, floods, and sea level rise (where appropriate given a project's scope and risk level for asset damage due to climate change).
- Complete Streets Elements (such as project features that improve the quality of bicycle and pedestrian facilities and that improve safety for all users of transportation facilities) are expected to be incorporated into RMRA funded projects to the extent (as deemed by cities and counties) beneficial, cost-effective, and practicable in the context of facility type, right-of-way, project scope, and quality of nearby facilities.

Pursuant to SHC Section 2037, a city or county may spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than those outlined in SHC Section 2030 if the city's or county's average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) meets or exceeds 80.

#### **IV. Project List Submittal**

##### **9. Content and Format of Project List**

Pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(1), prior to receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds from the State Controller in a fiscal year, a city or county must submit to the Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded with these funds pursuant to an adopted city or county budget, which may include pertinent budget amendments.

Listed below are the specific statutory criteria for the content of the project list along with additional guidance provided to help ensure a consistent statewide format and to facilitate accountability and transparency within the Local Streets and Roads Program.



#### **a.) Included in an Adopted Budget**

All proposed projects must be included in a city or county budget that is adopted by the applicable city council or county board of supervisors at a regular public meeting.

To ensure transparency and to meet the intent of SHC Section 2034(a)(1) "included in a city or county budget" can mean either of the following:

- a.) A specific list of projects proposed for RMRA funding adopted as part of the city/county's regular operating or capital improvement budget, at a regular public meeting; or
- b.) A specific list of projects proposed for RMRA funding amended into the city/county's regular operating or capital improvement budget, at a regular public meeting.

#### Documentation of Inclusion in an Adopted Budget

A city or county must provide a public record which illustrates that projects proposed for RMRA funding through the Local Streets and Roads Program have been included in an adopted city or county operating budget. Examples of an acceptable public record include:

- a.) An excerpt from the city/county's regular operating or capital improvement budget including the relevant list of projects and an adopting resolution;
- b.) An excerpt from the city/county's regular operating or capital improvement budget including the relevant list of projects and meeting minutes documenting approval at a regular public meeting.
- c.) An excerpt from the city/county's amended operating or capital improvement budget including the relevant list of projects, or the staff report specifying the projects to be included, as well as an adopting resolution or meeting minutes documenting approval at a regular public meeting.

Submittal of electronic copies of the relevant excerpts from an operating budget (or amendment) and support documentation (i.e. resolution or minutes) is encouraged. Support documentation requirements are further discussed in Appendix A.

#### **b.) List of Projects – Content**

Pursuant to SHC 2034(a)(1), the project list must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for each project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement. The project list is intended to cover, at a minimum, the applicable fiscal year. Cities and counties may include project information for future fiscal years but are expected to update the project list as needed every fiscal year prior to submittal to the Commission.

#### Development and Content

The Commission recognizes the inherent diversity of road maintenance and rehabilitation needs among the approximately 540 jurisdictions across the state that may utilize Local Streets and Roads Program funding.

Given the emphasis SB 1 places on accountability and transparency in delivering California's transportation programs, cities and counties are encouraged to clearly articulate how these funds are being utilized through the development of a robust project list.

To promote statewide consistency in the content and format of project information submitted to the Commission, and to facilitate transparency within the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program, the following guidance is provided regarding the key components of the project list. Please note that project lists included in a city or county budget should, at a minimum, include the elements mandated by statute: description, location, schedule for completion and useful life. Cities and counties should include more detailed project information as described below in the project list submitted to the Commission.

For further assistance, Appendix A has been developed to outline project list content and format.

#### Project Description

The list must include a project description for each proposed project. The city/county is encouraged to provide a brief non-technical description (up to 5 sentences) written so that the main objectives of the project can be clearly and easily understood by the public.

The level of detail provided will vary depending upon the nature of the project; however, it is highly encouraged that the project description contain a minimum level of detail needed for the public to understand what is being done and why it is a critical or high-priority need.

#### Project Location

The list must include a project location for each proposed project. The city/county is encouraged to provide project location information that, at a minimum, would allow the public to clearly understand where within the community the project is being undertaken. For example, providing specific street names where improvements are being undertaken and specifying project termini when possible are preferable to more general information such as “various” or “south-west side of city/county”. If project-specific geolocation data is available, it is highly encouraged to be included in the project list submitted to the Commission.

#### Proposed Schedule for Completion

The list must include a completion schedule for each proposed project. The city/county is encouraged to provide a high-level timeline that provides a clear picture to the public of when a project is reasonably expected to be completed. The proposed schedule for completion should clearly articulate if a project will take multiple years to complete.

#### Estimated Useful Life

The list must include an estimated useful life for each proposed project. The city/county is encouraged to provide information regarding the estimated useful life of the project that is clear, understandable, and based on industry-standards for the project materials and design, where applicable.

#### Technology, Climate Change, and Complete Streets Considerations

SHC Section 2030(c)-(f) specifies additional project elements that will be incorporated into RMRA-funded projects by cities and counties to the extent possible and cost effective, and where feasible. These elements are:

- Technologies and material recycling techniques that lower greenhouse gas emissions and reduce the cost of maintaining local streets and roads through material choice and construction method.

- Systems and components in transportation infrastructure that recognize and accommodate technologies including but not limited to ZEV fueling or charging and infrastructure-vehicles communications for transitional or fully autonomous vehicles.
- Project features to better adapt the transportation asset to withstand the negative effects of climate change and promote resiliency to impacts such as fires, floods, and sea level rise (where appropriate given a project's scope and risk level for asset damage due to climate change).
- Complete Streets Elements (such as project features that improve the quality of bicycle and pedestrian facilities and that improve safety for all users of transportation facilities) are expected to be incorporated into RMRA funded projects to the extent (as deemed by cities and counties) beneficial, cost-effective, and practicable in the context of facility type, right-of-way, project scope, and quality of nearby facilities.

Cities and counties are encouraged to consider all of the above for implementation, to the extent possible, cost-effective, and feasible, in the design and development of projects for RMRA funding.

To meet the intent of SHC 2032.5(a) as outlined in Section 2 of these Guidelines, in addition to the statutory requirements outlined in Section 10, the standard forms developed by the Commission will allow cities and counties to report on the inclusion of these elements.

#### Other Statutory Considerations for Project Lists

Pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(1), the project list shall not limit the flexibility of an eligible city or county to fund projects in accordance with local needs and priorities, so long as the projects are consistent with SHC Section 2030(b). After submittal of the project list to the Commission, in the event a city or county elects to make changes to the project list pursuant to the statutory provision noted above, formal notification of the Commission is not required. However, standard reporting forms will provide an opportunity for jurisdictions to annually communicate such changes to the Commission as part of the regular reporting process.

Pursuant to SHC Section 2037, a city or county may spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than those outlined in SHC 2030(b) if the city or county's average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) meets or exceeds 80. This provision however, does not eliminate the requirement for cities and counties to prepare and submit a list of projects or the requirement to consider technology, climate change, and complete streets elements to the extent possible, cost-effective and feasible, in the design and development of projects for RMRA funding.

In the event a city or county will spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than those outlined in Section 8 of these guidelines and pursuant to SHC 2037, cities and counties are encouraged to work with its respective Regional Transportation Planning Agency or Metropolitan Planning Organization to ensure that projects are included in the applicable Regional Transportation Plan.

### **c.) List of Projects – Standard Format**

Please note that project lists included in a city or county budget should, at a minimum, include the elements mandated by statute: description, location, schedule for completion and useful life elements. Cities and counties should include more detailed project information in the project list submitted to the Commission.

To promote statewide consistency of project information submitted to the Commission, a standard project list format is under development and is further explained in Appendix A.

For the initial submittal of project lists in 2017, cities and counties are required to use the standard form available. The form will be provided by the Commission to cities and counties at the earliest opportunity after adoption of the guidelines.

The Commission intends to make available an online platform so that cities and counties can quickly and easily enter project list information and upload support documentation online.

## **10. Process and Schedule for Project List Submittal**

A city or county must submit a project list and support documentation by **October 16, 2017** to the Commission. All materials should be provided electronically to: [ctc@dot.ca.gov](mailto:ctc@dot.ca.gov). In the event a jurisdiction wishes to submit a hard copy please contact the program manager at:

Eric Thronson, Deputy Director  
 California Transportation Commission  
[Eric.Thronson@dot.ca.gov](mailto:Eric.Thronson@dot.ca.gov)  
 (916) 654-7179

## **11. Commission Submittal of Eligible Entities to the State Controller's Office**

Pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a), a city or county must submit a project list to the Commission to be eligible for the receipt of RMRA funds, and the Commission must report to the Controller the jurisdictions that are eligible to receive funding. Upon receipt of project lists and support documentation, Commission staff will review submittals to ensure they are complete. Once a project list submittal has been received and deemed complete by staff, the city or county will be added to a list of jurisdictions eligible to receive RMRA funding for that fiscal year as required by SHC Section 2034(a)(2). All project lists and support documentation submitted by cities and counties will be posted to the Commission's website.

The list of eligible cities and counties will be brought forward for Commission consideration at a regularly scheduled meeting where staff will request Commission direction to transmit the list to the Controller. Upon direction of the Commission, staff will transmit the list to the Controller pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(2) and the cities and counties included on the list will be deemed eligible to receive RMRA apportionments for that fiscal year pursuant to SHC Section 2034 (a)(1). Upon receipt of the list from the Commission, the Controller is expected to apportion funds to the cities and counties included on the list pursuant to SHC Sections 2034(a)(2) and 2032(h). In the event a city or county does not provide a complete project list and support documentation for Commission consideration and eligibility designation pursuant to deadlines established by these guidelines, cities and counties are expected to work cooperatively with Commission staff to provide any missing information as soon as possible. Once completed information is provided, Commission action to establish eligibility will be taken at the next earliest opportunity.

## **V. Project Expenditure Reporting and Auditing**

### **12. Scope of Completed and In-Progress Project Expenditure Report**

Pursuant to SHC Section 2034(b), for each fiscal year in which an apportionment of RMRA funds is received and upon expenditure of funds, cities and counties must submit documentation to the Commission pertaining to the expenditure of those funds that includes: a description and location of each completed project, the amount of funds expended on the project, the completion date, and the estimated useful life of the improvement. The project expenditure reporting process will also provide an opportunity for cities and counties to report on the progress and expenditures associated with multi-year projects that are not yet complete.

Listed below are the specific statutory criteria for the content of the completed project expenditure report along with additional guidance provided to help ensure a consistent statewide format and to facilitate accountability and transparency within the Local Streets and Roads Program.

#### **a.) Completed and In-Progress Project Expenditure Report – Content**

##### Development and Content

Given the emphasis SB 1 places on accountability and transparency in delivering California's transportation programs, it is vitally important that cities and counties clearly articulate the public benefit of these funds through the development of a robust project expenditure report.

To promote statewide consistency in the content and format of project expenditure information submitted and to facilitate transparency and robust reporting within the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program, the following guidance is provided regarding the key components of the completed project expenditure report. Additionally, Appendix B has been developed to provide an example of project expenditure report content and format.

The project expenditure report must cover the full fiscal year and include projects that have completed construction and are fully operational. The standard form will also provide an opportunity for cities and counties to report on the progress and expenditures associated with multi-year projects that are not yet complete.

##### Project Description

The report must include a project description for each completed and in-progress project. The city/county is encouraged to provide a brief non-technical description (up to 5 sentences) written so that the main objectives of the project can be clearly and easily understood by the public.

The level of detail provided will vary depending upon the nature of the project; however, it is highly encouraged that the project description contain a minimum level of detail needed for the public to understand exactly what work was completed or will be completed in the future.

##### Project Location

The report must include a project location for each completed and in-progress project. The city/county is required to provide project location information that, at a minimum, would allow the public to clearly understand where within the community the project was or will be constructed. For example, specific street names where improvements were undertaken

and project termini should be specified. If project-specific geolocation data is available, it is highly encouraged to be included.

#### The Amount of Funds Expended and the Project Completion Date

The report must include the amount of RMRA funds expended on the project and its date of completion or expected date of completion. For the purposes of the project expenditure report, a project is considered complete when it is operational/open to traffic. Construction contract close-out is not required to be complete.

#### Estimated Useful Life

The report must include an estimated useful life for each proposed project. The city/county is encouraged to provide information regarding the estimated useful life of the project that is clear, understandable, and based on industry-standards for the project materials and design, where applicable.

#### Technology, Climate Change, and Complete Streets Considerations

SHC Section 2030(c)-(f) specifies additional project elements that will be incorporated into RMRA-funded projects by cities and counties to the extent possible and cost effective, and where feasible. These elements are:

- Technologies and material recycling techniques that lower greenhouse gas emissions and reduce the cost of maintaining local streets and roads through material choice and construction method.
- Systems and components in transportation infrastructure that recognize and accommodate technologies including but not limited to ZEV fueling or charging and infrastructure-vehicles communications for transitional or fully autonomous vehicles.
- Project features to better adapt the transportation asset to withstand the negative effects of climate change and promote resiliency to impacts such as fires, floods, and sea level rise (where appropriate given a project's scope and risk level for asset damage due to climate change).
- Complete Streets Elements (such as project features that improve the quality of bicycle and pedestrian facilities and that improve safety for all users of transportation facilities) are expected to be incorporated into RMRA funded projects to the extent (as deemed by cities and counties) beneficial, cost-effective, and practicable in the context of facility type, right-of-way, project scope, and quality of nearby facilities.

Cities and counties are encouraged to consider all of the above for implementation, to the extent possible, cost-effective and feasible, in the design and development of projects for RMRA funding. In the event that completed projects contain technology, climate change, and complete streets considerations pursuant to SHC 2030(c)-(f). Standard reporting forms developed by the Commission will allow, cities and counties to report on the inclusion of these elements in RMRA-funded projects.

Standard reporting forms developed by the Commission will also provide space for supplementary information to be provided regarding the benefits of RMRA funded projects. Cities and counties should consider providing additional information in the proposed project list as appropriate in order to clearly communicate how RMRA funding is being effectively put to use.

### Other Statutory Considerations for Project Expenditure Reports

Pursuant to SHC Section 2037, a city or county may spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than those outlined in SHC Section 2030(b) if the city's or county's average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) meets or exceeds 80. This provision, however, does not eliminate the requirement for cities and counties to prepare and submit a completed project expenditure report or the requirement to consider technology, climate change, and complete streets elements to the extent possible, cost-effective and feasible, in the design and development of projects for RMRA funding.

#### **b.) Project Expenditure Report – Standard Format**

To promote statewide consistency of project information submitted, a standard completed and in-progress project expenditure report format has been developed and is further explained in Appendix B.

For the initial submittal of project expenditure reports in 2017, cities and counties are required to use the standard form available. The form will be provided by the Commission to cities and counties at the earliest opportunity after adoption of the guidelines.

The Commission intends to make available an online platform so that cities and counties can quickly and easily enter completed and in-progress project information online.

### **13. Process and Schedule for Project Expenditure Report Submittal**

Completed Project Reports must be developed and submitted to the Commission according to the statutory requirements of SHC Section 2034(b) as outlined above in Section 12.

A city or county must submit a Completed and In-Progress Project Report by **October 1, 2018** and October 1<sup>st</sup> of each subsequent year to the Commission. All materials should be provided electronically to [ctc@dot.ca.gov](mailto:ctc@dot.ca.gov). In the event a jurisdiction wishes to submit a hard copy please contact the program manager at:

Eric Thronson, Deputy Director  
 California Transportation Commission  
[Eric.Thronson@dot.ca.gov](mailto:Eric.Thronson@dot.ca.gov)  
 (916) 654-7179

### **14. Commission Reporting of Project Information Received**

In order to meet the requirements of SB 1 which include accountability and transparency in the delivery of California's transportation programs, it is vitally important that the Commission clearly communicate the public benefits achieved by RMRA funds. The Commission intends to articulate these benefits through the development of an SB 1 accountability website and through other reporting mechanisms such as the Commission's Annual Report to the Legislature.

Upon receipt of project expenditure reports, Commission staff will review submittals to ensure they are complete. If any critical project information is missing (i.e. SHC 2034(b) requirements such as project description, location, date of completion, expenditures, and useful life of improvement) Commission staff will notify city/county staff to complete for resubmittal within 10 working days.

All completed project expenditure reports submitted by cities and counties will be posted to the Commission's SB 1 Accountability website. The Commission will also analyze the completed project expenditure reports provided by cities and counties and aggregate the project information to provide both statewide and city/county level summary information such as the number, type, and location of RMRA funded projects. This information will also be provided on the Commission's SB 1 Accountability website by December 1<sup>st</sup> each year, and included in the Commission's Annual Report to the Legislature which is delivered to the Legislature by December 15<sup>th</sup> each year.

In the event a city or county does not provide a project expenditure report by the deadline requested (October 1<sup>st</sup> each year) to allow for Commission analysis and inclusion on the SB 1 accountability website and in the Annual Report to the Legislature, absence of the report will be noted on the website, in the Annual Report, and may be reported to the State Controller.

### **15.State Controller Expenditure Reporting and Maintenance of Effort Monitoring**

This section provides general information regarding the detailed expenditure reporting and maintenance of effort requirements that cities and counties are responsible for demonstrating to the State Controller's Office. It is important to note that the Commission has no oversight or authority regarding these provisions. Specific guidance should be sought from the State Controller's Office in these areas.

In addition to the RMRA completed project reporting requirements outlined in SHC Section 2034(b), SHC Section 2151 requires each city and county to file an annual report of expenditures for street or road purposes with the State Controller's Office. SHC Section 2153 imposes a mandatory duty on the State Controller's Office to ensure that the annual streets and roads expenditure reports are adequate and accurate. Additional information regarding the preparation of the annual streets and roads expenditure report is available online in the [Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties](#) prepared and maintained by the State Controller's Office. These Guidelines were last updated in August 2015 and are anticipated to be updated again to address new accountability provisions of SB 1.

Expenditure authority for RMRA funding is governed by Article XIX of the California Constitution as well as Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2030) of Division 3 of the SHC.

RMRA funds received should be deposited as follows in order to avoid the commingling of those funds with other local funds:

- a.) In the case of a city, into the city account that is designated for the receipt of state funds allocated for local streets and roads.
- b.) In the case of a county, into the county road fund.
- c.) In the case of a city and county, into a local account that is designated for the receipt of state funds allocated for local streets and roads.

RMRA funds are subject to audit by the Controller pursuant to Government Code Section 12410 and SHC Section 2153. Pursuant to SHC 2036, a city or county receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds is required to sustain a maintenance of effort (MOE) by spending at least the annual average of its general fund expenditures during the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years for street, road, and highway purposes from the city's or county's general fund. Monitoring and enforcement of the MOE requirement for RMRA funds will be carried out by the Controller.



MOE requirements are fully articulated in statute as follows:

*Streets and Highways Code Section 2036*

*(a) cities and counties shall maintain their existing commitment of local funds for street, road, and highway purposes in order to remain eligible for RMRA funding apportionment.*

*(b) In order to receive an allocation or apportionment pursuant to Section 2032, the city or county shall annually expend from its general fund for street, road, and highway purposes an amount not less than the annual average of its expenditures from its general fund during the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years, as reported to the Controller pursuant to Section 2151. For purposes of this subdivision, in calculating a city's or county's annual general fund expenditures and its average general fund expenditures for the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years, any unrestricted funds that the city or county may expend at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes shall be considered expenditures from the general fund. One-time allocations that have been expended for street and highway purposes, but which may not be available on an ongoing basis, including revenue provided under the Teeter Plan Bond Law of 1994 (Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 54773) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code), may not be considered when calculating a city's or county's annual general fund expenditures.*

*(c) For any city incorporated after July 1, 2009, the Controller shall calculate an annual average expenditure for the period between July 1, 2009, and December 31, 2015, inclusive, that the city was incorporated.*

*(d) For purposes of subdivision (b), the Controller may request fiscal data from cities and counties in addition to data provided pursuant to Section 2151, for the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years. Each city and county shall furnish the data to the Controller not later than 120 days after receiving the request. The Controller may withhold payment to cities and counties that do not comply with the request for information or that provide incomplete data.*

*(e) The Controller may perform audits to ensure compliance with subdivision (b) when deemed necessary. Any city or county that has not complied with subdivision (b) shall reimburse the state for the funds it received during that fiscal year. Any funds returned as a result of a failure to comply with subdivision (b) shall be reapportioned to the other counties and cities whose expenditures are in compliance.*

*(f) If a city or county fails to comply with the requirements of subdivision (b) in a particular fiscal year, the city or county may expend during that fiscal year and the following fiscal year a total amount that is not less than the total amount required to be expended for those fiscal years for purposes of complying with subdivision (b).*

## **16. Workforce Development Requirements and Project Signage**

Pursuant to SHC Section 2038, by July 1, 2023, cities and counties receiving RMRA funds must follow guidelines developed by the California Workforce Development Board that address participation & investment in, or partnership with, new or existing pre-apprenticeship training programs. Cities and Counties receiving RMRA funds will also be eligible to compete for funding from the Board's pre-apprenticeship development and training grant program that includes a focus on outreach to women, minority participants, underrepresented subgroups, formerly incarcerated individuals, and local residents to access training and employment opportunities. Upon California Workforce Development Board adoption of guidelines and grant funding opportunities in this area, the Commission will update the Local Streets and Roads Program Reporting Guidelines to incorporate this information by reference.

To demonstrate to the public that RMRA funds are being put to work, cities and counties should consider including project funding information signage, where feasible and cost-effective, stating that the project was made possible by SB 1 – The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017.

## **Appendix A – Local Streets and Roads Project List Form**

To promote statewide consistency in the content and format of project information submitted to the Commission, and to facilitate transparency within the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program, Appendix A provides the general outline of a standard Project List form that is under development for cities and counties to use in submitting the proposed list of projects to the Commission. This will be an electronic form with a series of drop-down menus, check-boxes, and fillable fields.

For the initial submittal of project lists in 2017, cities and counties are required to use the standard form once available. The form will be provided by the Commission to cities and counties at the earliest opportunity after adoption of the guidelines. The Commission intends to make available an online platform so that cities and counties can quickly and easily enter project list information and upload support documentation online.

Please note that project lists included in a city or county budget should include, at a minimum, the elements mandated by statute: description, location, schedule for completion and useful life elements, while the form below includes more detailed project information.

The nature/type of information that will be included in the standard form is outlined below:

### **General Info:**

- City and County Name
- Project Lead and Department Contact Information
- Legislative District(s)
- Jurisdiction's Average Network PCI and date/year of measurement
- Fiscal Year
- Supplementary Information<sup>1</sup> (a place for the city/county to report how RMRA projects were identified as a priority, how they demonstrate an efficient investment of public funds, and any additional benefits of the projects).

### **Proposed Project A**

#### **Description:**

- Brief description (up to 5 sentences) written in a non-technical way that is understandable to the public and which includes some quantifiable measurement about the project (e.g. replace 5 culverts, repave/resurface 2 miles of road, restripe 1 mile of bike lanes, etc.)
- Have city/county check boxes specifying the type of project it is based on RMRA priorities or "other" and the inclusion of additional Technology, Climate Change and Complete Streets elements (SHC 2030). Space will be provided for cities and counties to provide an optional narrative description of the additional elements and check boxes for why additional elements may not have been included i.e. feasibility.
- Local/Regional project number (if applicable)

<sup>2,3</sup> Supplementary and location information can be used to demonstrate a variety of benefits of RMRA projects including effective prioritization of funds, equitable distribution, and efficient utilization of funding.

California Transportation Commission  
2017 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program  
Annual Reporting Guidelines

August 2017

Location:

- Should be as specific as possible (i.e. street names and project termini) and geolocation information should be provided if available<sup>2</sup>

Proposed Schedule for Completion:

- Anticipated construction year

Estimated Useful Life:

- Should be clear, understandable, and based on industry-standards as applicable.

**Support Documentation**

- Electronic Copy of excerpt from City/County's Adopted Budget or Budget Amendment including proposed list of projects, or the staff report specifying the projects to be included in a budget amendment
- Adopting resolution or meeting minutes to document budget/amendment approval
- Additional information regarding support documentation is available in Section 10 of the guidelines

**Project Flexibility**

Pursuant to SHC Section 2034(a)(1), this project list shall not limit the flexibility of an eligible city or county to fund projects in accordance with local needs and priorities, so long as the projects are consistent with SHC Section 2030(b).

---

<sup>2,3</sup> Supplementary and location information can be used to demonstrate a variety of benefits of RMRA projects including effective prioritization of funds, equitable distribution, and efficient utilization of funding.

## **Appendix B - Local Streets and Roads Project Expenditure Report Form**

To promote statewide consistency in the content and format of project expenditure information submitted to the Commission, and to facilitate transparency within the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program, Appendix B provides the general outline of a standard Project Expenditure Report form that is under development for cities and counties to use. This will be an electronic form with a series of drop-down menus, check-boxes, and fillable fields.

For the initial submittal of project expenditure reports to the Commission in 2018, cities and counties are required to use the standard form once available. The form will be provided by the Commission to cities and counties at the earliest opportunity after adoption of the guidelines.

The Commission intends to make available an online platform so that cities and counties can quickly and easily enter project expenditure information online.

The nature/type of information that will be included in the standard form is outlined below:

### General Info:

- City/County Name
- Project Lead and Department Contact Information
- Legislative District(s)
- Jurisdiction's Average Network PCI and year/date of measurement.
- Total Funds Apportioned during the Fiscal Year
- Supplementary Information<sup>3</sup> (a spot for the city/county to report how RMRA projects were identified as a priority, how they demonstrate an efficient investment of public funds, and any additional benefits of the projects).

### **Completed or In Progress Project A**

#### Description:

- Brief description (up to 5 sentences) written in a non-technical way that is understandable to the public and which includes some quantifiable measurement about the project (e.g. replace 5 culverts, repave/resurface 2 miles of road, restripe 1 mile of bike lanes, etc.)
- Have city/county check boxes specifying the type of project it is based on RMRA priorities or "other" and the inclusion of additional Technology, Climate Change and Complete Streets elements (SHC 2034). Space will be provided for cities and counties to provide an optional narrative description of the additional elements and check boxes for why additional elements may not have been included i.e. feasibility.
- Local/Regional project number (if applicable)
- Space will be provided for cities and counties to identify any project list changes resulting from the flexibility afforded by SHC 2034(a)(1) such as projects added, deleted, or replaced if applicable.

---

<sup>3,4</sup> Supplementary and location information can be used to demonstrate a variety of benefits of RMRA projects including effective prioritization of funds, equitable distribution, and efficient utilization of funding.

California Transportation Commission  
2017 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program  
Annual Reporting Guidelines

August 2017

Location:

- Must be as specific as possible (i.e. street names and project termini) and geolocation information is highly encouraged to be provided if available<sup>4</sup>

Amount of Funds Expended:

- Enter the amount of RMRA funds expended on the project and the total project cost
- Enter the amount and type of other funds expended on the project

Completion Date:

- Drop down menu to select the month and year that the project is complete/operational etc.
- Place to enter status update on multi-year projects and expected completion date

Estimated Useful Life:

- Should be clear, understandable, and based on industry-standards as applicable.

Signage:

- Provide a place to report on the inclusion of project funding information signage, if applicable

---

<sup>3,4</sup> Supplementary and location information can be used to demonstrate a variety of benefits of RMRA projects including effective prioritization of funds, equitable distribution, and efficient utilization of funding.

### **Appendix C – Local Streets and Roads Program Schedule**

|                                                                                |                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>FY 17-18</b>                                                                |                              |
| Adoption of Final Guidelines Call for Project Lists                            | August 16-17, 2017           |
| Technical Assistance and Outreach to Cities/Counties                           | August 18 – October 16, 2017 |
| Project Lists due to Commission                                                | October 16, 2017             |
| Commission Adopts List of Eligible Cities and Counties                         | December 6-7, 2017           |
| Commission Submits List to Controller                                          | December 6-7, 2017           |
| Controller FY 17-18 Apportionments Begin                                       | Mid-January 2018             |
| Completed Project Report Submitted to Commission for 2017 - 2018 Fiscal Year   | October 1, 2018              |
| Commission Posts Statewide LSR Program Accountability Information Online       | December 1, 2018             |
| <b>FY 18-19</b>                                                                |                              |
| Guidelines Update as Needed                                                    | TBD                          |
| Call for Project Lists                                                         | TBD <sup>5</sup>             |
| Commission Review, Approval & Adoption of List of Eligible Cities and Counties | TBD <sup>6</sup>             |
| Commission Submits Final List to Controller                                    | July 1, 2018                 |
| Controller FY 18-19 Apportionments Begin                                       | Mid-September 2018           |
| Completed Project Report Submitted to Commission for 2018 - 2019 Fiscal Year   | October 1, 2019              |
| Commission Posts Statewide LSR Program Accountability Information Online       | December 1, 2019             |

<sup>5,6</sup> The Commission is working with city and county representatives to develop a schedule for FY 18-19 that accommodates city and county budgeting processes; statutory clarification may be needed in this area.

## **City General Fund Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Initial Estimates For Receipt of Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) Funds Under the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall)**

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall) includes a substantial boost in Local Streets and Roads Funding over the Highway Users Tax Account allocations that cities and counties have been receiving. The Act establishes a new “Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) in the state treasury and allocates amounts to cities and counties from that account based on statutory formulas.<sup>1</sup>

### **RMRA Maintenance of Effort** [Streets and Highways Code Sec 2036]

The Road Repair and Accountability Act contains a local agency maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement that applies to funds allocated through the RMRA. The Act states that the MOE requirement is to ensure that these new roads funds do not supplant existing levels of city and county general revenue spending on streets and roads. The MOE for the receipt of RMRA funds state that a city or county must maintain general fund spending for street, road, and highway purposes at no less than average of 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 years. In making this calculation certain one-time funds may be excluded. A city or county that fails to comply in a particular year may make it up with in additional expenditures in the following year.

The Act provides that the State Controller may perform audits to ensure compliance with these MOE rules. If the State Controller determines that a city or county has not met it’s MOE, the agency will be required to reimburse the state for the funds it received during that fiscal year. However, a city or county that fails to comply in a particular fiscal year may expend during that fiscal year and the following fiscal year a total amount that is sufficient to comply. Any funds withheld or returned as a result of a failure to comply will be reapportioned to the other counties and cities whose expenditures are in compliance.

*2036. (a) Cities and counties shall maintain their existing commitment of local funds for street, road, and highway purposes in order to remain eligible for an allocation or apportionment of funds pursuant to Section 2032.*

*(b) In order to receive an allocation or apportionment pursuant to Section 2032, the city or county shall annually expend from its general fund for street, road, and highway purposes an amount not less than the annual average of its expenditures from its general fund during the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years, as reported to the Controller pursuant to Section 2151. For purposes of this subdivision, in calculating a city’s or county’s annual general fund expenditures and its average general fund expenditures for the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years, any unrestricted funds that the city or county may expend at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes shall be considered expenditures from the general fund. One-time allocations that have been expended for street and highway purposes, but which may not be available on an ongoing basis, including revenue provided under the Teeter Plan Bond Law of 1994 (Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 54773) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code), may not be considered when calculating a city’s or county’s annual general fund expenditures.*

<sup>1</sup> For more information, see “Local Streets and Roads Funding FAQ – with Estimates for 2016-17, 2017-18 and FY2018-19” here: <http://californiacityfinance.com/LSR1704.pdf>



(c) For any city incorporated after July 1, 2009, the Controller shall calculate an annual average expenditure for the period between July 1, 2009, and December 31, 2015, inclusive, that the city was incorporated.

(d) For purposes of subdivision (b), the Controller may request fiscal data from cities and counties in addition to data provided pursuant to Section 2151, for the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years. Each city and county shall furnish the data to the Controller not later than 120 days after receiving the request. The Controller may withhold payment to cities and counties that do not comply with the request for information or that provide incomplete data.

(e) The Controller may perform audits to ensure compliance with subdivision (b) when deemed necessary. Any city or county that has not complied with subdivision (b) shall reimburse the state for the funds it received during that fiscal year. Any funds withheld or returned as a result of a failure to comply with subdivision (b) shall be reapportioned to the other counties and cities whose expenditures are in compliance.

(f) If a city or county fails to comply with the requirements of subdivision (b) in a particular fiscal year, the city or county may expend during that fiscal year and the following fiscal year a total amount that is not less than the total amount required to be expended for those fiscal years for purposes of complying with subdivision (b).

### Initial Draft Estimated RMRA MOE Requirements – UPDATED - 7 AUGUST 2017

These estimates are based on figures reported by each city in the annual streets expenditure reports in Table 5 under “Expenditures by Source” titled “Discretionary.” That is the numbers for your city upon which the MOE is based were **reported by your city**. See [http://www.sco.ca.gov/ard\\_locrep\\_streets.html](http://www.sco.ca.gov/ard_locrep_streets.html) These numbers align with the definition in the statute that stipulates: “any unrestricted funds that the city or county may expend at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes shall be considered expenditures from the general fund.”

The State Controller’s Office is computing MOE requirements based on the SCO interpretation of SB1. These will be the official MOE figures. To assist cities with budgeting and in anticipation of those SCO estimates, we have pulled the data from SCO reports per statute and computed initial estimated MOE requirements for every city. If you have questions or concerns, please contact me.

### Frequently Asked Questions Regarding the RMRA MOE

#### 1. Can I use my CIP and Operational Costs to meet my MOE requirement?

The MOE requirement is for a minimum amount of spending of discretionary revenues on streets and roads. CIP, maintenance and operation expenditures if for streets and roads all qualify if funding is from discretionary general fund.

#### 2. Why is my MOE number so high?

The MOE calculation is specified in statute which is quoted in my cover memo. The numbers upon which the MOE is based are those reported BY YOUR CITY to the SCO in the annual streets and roads report.

#### 3. What do I do if I think my number is too high?

We expect the SCO will provide a process to a) have certain one time expenditures in those years eliminated from the MOE calculation pursuant to the statute and b) correct any errors in the reported numbers on which the MOE is based. Because the figures in the Annual SCO Streets and Roads reports were submitted and certified as correct by your city staff, you will need to provide a solid case if you now believe they were reported in error.

**4. Where can I find my latest MOE estimate?**

Our initial unofficial MOE estimates are at [http://www.californiacityfinance.com/RMRA\\_MOEstim1708.pdf](http://www.californiacityfinance.com/RMRA_MOEstim1708.pdf). These calculations are our unofficial calculations adhering to direction in the law. We are awaiting official initial MOE calculations from the SCO.

**5. The discretionary spending on streets and roads reported in 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 includes items that I don't think are allowable expenditures under SB1. Shouldn't these amounts be excluded from the MOE calculation?**

No. RMRA use definitions do not apply to the MOE or to spending to meet the MOE. The law (SB1 Streets and Highways Code Sec 2036) says the MOE is determined by "a city's or county's annual ... average general fund expenditures for the 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 fiscal years...." General Fund Expenditures, for this purpose, is defined as "any unrestricted funds that the city or county may expend at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes...." This spending definition does not necessarily match the prescribed uses of RMRA funding.

Accordingly, the annual discretionary general fund spending to meet this MOE requirement does not have to be spent only on RMRA qualifying expenses but is, like the MOE, to be "any unrestricted funds that the city or county (expends) at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes...."

**6. Who do I talk with to get my City's MOE corrected?**

The California State Controller's Office (SCO) has the authority for the determination and oversight of the MOE and the proper use of RMRA funds. We expect SCO will provide a process for establishing MOE amounts and additional guidance on the RMRA soon.

**7. RMRA revenues will not begin flowing until 2018. This first year of SB1 will not provide a full year of funding. So, is the MOE prorated for FY2017-18?**

Regarding the MOE requirement, it doesn't matter how much you might receive from the RMRA in FY2017-18 or any other year. To receive any RMRA funds in a year you must satisfy the MOE in the fiscal year. That is, in order to receive any RMRA funds in FY2017-18 then you must expend on streets and roads, general revenues of at least the average of your 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 fiscal years amounts in FY2017-18. There is no provision in the law for any proration.

**8. How is this going to work with other transportation funding MOEs?**

There has not been another statewide transportation funding MOE since the Proposition 42 MOE expired with the Fuel Tax swap in 2010. Some county transportation measures have MOE requirements and the particulars of those vary and may differ from the SB1 MOE. Meeting one MOE does not necessarily satisfy meeting another.

mjgc

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## ALAMEDA COUNTY

|             |            |           |           |           |           |
|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ALAMEDA     | 1,817,166  | 1,519,569 | 1,635,157 | 1,657,297 | 1,657,297 |
| ALBANY      | 0          | 1,635     | 1,100,543 | 367,393   | 367,393   |
| BERKELEY    | 3,664,974  | 2,608,499 | 4,130,381 | 3,467,951 | 3,467,951 |
| DUBLIN      | 1,289,800  | 1,189,071 | 1,209,090 | 1,229,320 | 1,229,320 |
| EMERYVILLE  | 3,648,993  | 2,022,540 | 2,055,182 | 2,575,572 | 2,575,572 |
| FREMONT     | 6,803,092  | 7,132,286 | 6,693,269 | 6,876,216 | 6,876,216 |
| HAYWARD     | 1,139,351  | 3,871,846 | 3,692,880 | 2,901,359 | 2,901,359 |
| LIVERMORE   | 5,821,398  | 4,253,390 | 5,192,011 | 5,088,933 | 5,088,933 |
| NEWARK      | 3,383,991  | 2,430,828 | 3,271,477 | 3,028,765 | 3,028,765 |
| OAKLAND     | 10,956,731 | 2,008,164 | 3,143,420 | 5,369,438 | 5,369,438 |
| PIEDMONT    | 909,635    | 935,620   | 992,282   | 945,846   | 945,846   |
| PLEASANTON  | 7,627,227  | 6,813,745 | 6,629,726 | 7,023,566 | 7,023,566 |
| SAN LEANDRO | 4,291,323  | 2,676,131 | 2,414,034 | 3,127,163 | 3,127,163 |
| UNION CITY  | 513,336    | 488,585   | 131,004   | 377,642   | 377,642   |

## ALPINE COUNTY

## AMADOR COUNTY

|              |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| AMADOR       | 0       | 60      | 0       | 20      | 20      |
| IONE         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| JACKSON      | 211,866 | 198,660 | 196,265 | 202,264 | 202,264 |
| PLYMOUTH     | 69,623  | 59,785  | 62,229  | 63,879  | 63,879  |
| SUTTER CREEK | 59,903  | 83,677  | 150,750 | 98,110  | 98,110  |

## BUTTE COUNTY

|          |         |                        |         |                     |         |
|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| BIGGS    | 18,335  | 42,662                 | 82,751  | 47,916              | 47,916  |
| CHICO    | 200,000 | (500,000) <sup>2</sup> | 0       | 66,667 <sup>2</sup> | 66,667  |
| GRIDLEY  | 268,450 | 244,045                | 223,964 | 245,486             | 245,486 |
| OROVILLE | 602,456 | 334,702                | 321,720 | 419,626             | 419,626 |
| PARADISE | 4,384   | 104,884                | 0       | 36,423              | 36,423  |

## CALAVERAS COUNTY

|             |   |   |       |       |       |
|-------------|---|---|-------|-------|-------|
| ANGELS CAMP | 0 | 0 | 4,904 | 1,635 | 1,635 |
|-------------|---|---|-------|-------|-------|

## COLUSA COUNTY

|          |         |         |         |         |         |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| COLUSA   | 419,141 | 337,428 | 247,276 | 334,615 | 334,615 |
| WILLIAMS | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|--|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |  |

## CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

|               |           |           |           |           |           |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ANTIOCH       | 2,417,682 | 1,575,381 | 1,875,381 | 1,956,148 | 1,956,148 |
| BRENTWOOD     | 2,017,096 | 3,096,154 | 1,454,125 | 2,189,125 | 2,189,125 |
| CLAYTON       | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| CONCORD       | 4,167,882 | 3,896,046 | 2,608,143 | 3,557,357 | 3,557,357 |
| DANVILLE      | 980,000   | 1,804,890 | 0         | 928,297   | 928,297   |
| EL CERRITO    | 0         | 469,895   | 60,053    | 176,649   | 176,649   |
| HERCULES      | 424,976   | 308,750   | 195,336   | 309,687   | 309,687   |
| LAFAYETTE     | 538,160   | 1,137,045 | 1,151,013 | 942,073   | 942,073   |
| MARTINEZ      | 1,697,622 | 1,586,339 | 1,542,581 | 1,608,847 | 1,608,847 |
| MORAGA        | 458,808   | 404,252   | 0         | 287,687   | 287,687   |
| OAKLEY        | 13,770    | 44,905    | 568,102   | 208,926   | 208,926   |
| ORINDA        | 787,678   | 780,302   | 1,193,244 | 920,408   | 920,408   |
| PINOLE        | 173,063   | 156,564   | 83,930    | 137,852   | 137,852   |
| PITTSBURG     | 220,325   | 227,292   | 150,780   | 199,466   | 199,466   |
| PLEASANT HILL | 841,972   | 1,109,112 | 1,239,733 | 1,063,606 | 1,063,606 |
| RICHMOND      | 0         | 4,160,798 | 5,760,486 | 3,307,095 | 3,307,095 |
| SAN PABLO     | 659,155   | 296,194   | 439,473   | 464,941   | 464,941   |
| SAN RAMON     | 1,022,558 | 161,185   | 451,901   | 545,215   | 545,215   |
| WALNUT CREEK  | 3,959,699 | 3,286,107 | 3,565,758 | 3,603,855 | 3,603,855 |

## DEL NORTE COUNTY

|               |         |   |         |         |         |
|---------------|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| CRESCENT CITY | 251,808 | 0 | 273,314 | 175,041 | 175,041 |
|---------------|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|

## EL DORADO COUNTY

|                  |           |           |           |           |           |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PLACERVILLE      | 600,221   | 405,623   | 426,044   | 477,296   | 477,296   |
| SOUTH LAKE TAHOE | 2,433,597 | 2,218,073 | 1,543,976 | 2,065,215 | 2,065,215 |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## FRESNO COUNTY

|             |           |           |           |           |           |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CLOVIS      | 2,286,601 | 2,137,226 | 2,214,879 | 2,212,902 | 2,212,902 |
| COALINGA    | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| FIREBAUGH   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| FOWLER      | 17,036    | 208,347   | 14,598    | 79,994    | 79,994    |
| FRESNO      | 6,844,300 | 573,700   | 6,761     | 2,474,920 | 2,474,920 |
| HURON       | 0         | 223,367   | (222,367) | 74,456    | 74,456    |
| KERMAN      | 26,600    | 34,000    | 0         | 20,200    | 20,200    |
| KINGSBURG   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| MENDOTA     | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| ORANGE COVE | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| PARLIER     | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| REEDLEY     | 247,591   | 143,569   | 124,022   | 171,727   | 171,727   |
| SANGER      | 99,950    | 19,519    | 0         | 39,823    | 39,823    |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| SELMA       | 1,030,961 | 963,341   | 78,022    | 690,775   | 690,775   |

## GLENN COUNTY

|         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ORLAND  | 90,538  | 64,252  | 83,310  | 79,367  | 79,367  |
| WILLOWS | 201,764 | 213,873 | 193,336 | 202,991 | 202,991 |

## HUMBOLDT COUNTY

|           |         |           |           |           |           |
|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ARCATA    | 878,098 | 1,545,729 | 2,291,103 | 1,571,643 | 1,571,643 |
| BLUE LAKE | 710     | 215       | 11,483    | 4,136     | 4,136     |
| EUREKA    | 879,200 | 657,994   | 1,094,430 | 877,208   | 877,208   |
| FERNDALE  | 56,902  | 44,899    | 24,123    | 41,975    | 41,975    |
| FORTUNA   | 184,100 | 320,656   | 319,748   | 274,835   | 274,835   |
| RIO DELL  | 0       | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| TRINIDAD  | 56,579  | 96,579    | 139,458   | 97,539    | 97,539    |

## IMPERIAL COUNTY

|             |           |         |         |         |         |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| BRAWLEY     | 0         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| CALEXICO    | 1,119,648 | 812,293 | 704,137 | 878,693 | 878,693 |
| CALIPATRIA  | 0         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| EL CENTRO   | 407       | 128,361 | 407     | 43,058  | 43,058  |
| HOLTVILLE   | 0         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| IMPERIAL    | 11,114    | 231,667 | 8,500   | 83,760  | 83,760  |
| WESTMORLAND | 0         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## INYO COUNTY

|        |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| BISHOP | 269,505 | 235,994 | 232,266 | 245,922 | 245,922 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

## KERN COUNTY

|                 |            |            |            |            |            |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ARVIN           | 106,365    | 0          | 0          | 35,455     | 35,455     |
| BAKERSFIELD     | 15,351,175 | 18,407,230 | 16,078,698 | 16,612,368 | 16,612,368 |
| CALIFORNIA CITY | 73,263     | 34,364     | 195,851    | 101,159    | 101,159    |
| DELANO          | 528,533    | 429,307    | 209,209    | 389,016    | 389,016    |
| MARICOPA        | 31,533     | 0          | 0          | 10,511     | 10,511     |
| MCFARLAND       | 40,000     | 98,624     | 0          | 46,208     | 46,208     |
| RIDGECREST      | 517,908    | 60,208     | 60,935     | 213,017    | 213,017    |
| SHAFTER         | 1,424,758  | 1,524,770  | 2,282,625  | 1,744,051  | 1,744,051  |
| TAFT            | 1,020,557  | 642,652    | 875,346    | 846,185    | 846,185    |
| TEHACHAPI       | 394,808    | 515,722    | 483,619    | 464,716    | 464,716    |
| WASCO           | 99,423     | 179,869    | 350,836    | 210,043    | 210,043    |

## KINGS COUNTY

|          |           |           |           |           |           |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AVENAL   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| CORCORAN | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| HANFORD  | 1,804,199 | 2,200,842 | 2,207,838 | 2,070,960 | 2,070,960 |
| LEMOORE  | 494,265   | 406,001   | 239,080   | 379,782   | 379,782   |

## LAKE COUNTY

|           |         |         |         |         |         |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CLEARLAKE | 0       | 123,646 | 132,905 | 85,517  | 85,517  |
| LAKEPORT  | 489,318 | 529,482 | 670,310 | 563,037 | 563,037 |

## LASSEN COUNTY

|            |         |         |         |         |         |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| SUSANVILLE | 308,765 | 255,586 | 105,094 | 223,148 | 223,148 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY

|               |            |            |           |            |            |
|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| AGOURA HILLS  | 956,157    | 1,005,281  | 996,816   | 986,085    | 986,085    |
| ALHAMBRA      | 306,927    | 296,910    | 708,718   | 437,518    | 437,518    |
| ARCADIA       | 1,913,984  | 1,854,062  | 2,278,187 | 2,015,411  | 2,015,411  |
| ARTESIA       | 1,125      | 0          | 742,357   | 247,827    | 247,827    |
| AVALON        | 105,457    | 168,729    | 255,435   | 176,540    | 176,540    |
| AZUSA         | 780,344    | 483,711    | 71,016    | 445,024    | 445,024    |
| BALDWIN PARK  | 361,398    | 285,677    | 222,774   | 289,950    | 289,950    |
| BELL          | 434,798    | 125,778    | 284,516   | 281,697    | 281,697    |
| BELLFLOWER    | 2,727,428  | 2,775,505  | 2,962,153 | 2,821,695  | 2,821,695  |
| BELL GARDENS  | 557,383    | 461,203    | 344,629   | 454,405    | 454,405    |
| BEVERLY HILLS | 12,874,051 | 19,540,509 | 7,456,168 | 13,290,243 | 13,290,243 |
| BRADBURY      | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0          |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |             |             |             |             |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                             | FY2009-10   | FY2010-11   | FY2011-12   | Average     | Adjustment  |
| RMRA M.O.E.                                                                 |             |             |             |             |             |
| BURBANK                                                                     | 11,955,090  | 10,949,610  | 7,336,836   | 10,080,512  | 10,080,512  |
| CALABASAS                                                                   | 797,343     | 778,817     | 522,170     | 699,443     | 699,443     |
| CARSON                                                                      | 6,916,410   | 6,335,704   | 4,328,117   | 5,860,077   | 5,860,077   |
| CERRITOS                                                                    | 3,608,889   | 704,349     | 837,764     | 1,717,001   | 1,717,001   |
| CLAREMONT                                                                   | 1,454,356   | 242,188     | 203,631     | 633,392     | 633,392     |
| COMMERCE                                                                    | 3,190,948   | 1,592,024   | 1,627,264   | 2,136,745   | 2,136,745   |
| COMPTON                                                                     | 829,833     | 818,986     | 640,261     | 763,027     | 763,027     |
| COVINA                                                                      | 953,689     | 819,275     | 1,408,049   | 1,060,338   | 1,060,338   |
| CUDAHY                                                                      | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| CULVER CITY                                                                 | 4,167,397   | 3,728,639   | 3,709,842   | 3,868,626   | 3,868,626   |
| DIAMOND BAR                                                                 | 681,816     | 421,352     | 299,935     | 467,701     | 467,701     |
| DOWNEY                                                                      | 1,812,186   | 1,361,623   | 1,548,399   | 1,574,069   | 1,574,069   |
| DUARTE                                                                      | 591,412     | 394,713     | 533,792     | 506,639     | 506,639     |
| EL MONTE                                                                    | 410,071     | 814,913     | 161,822     | 462,269     | 462,269     |
| EL SEGUNDO                                                                  | 5,177,586   | 2,254,312   | 2,551,368   | 3,327,755   | 3,327,755   |
| GARDENA                                                                     | 1,193,667   | 1,099,753   | 1,063,621   | 1,119,014   | 1,119,014   |
| GLENDALE                                                                    | 9,081,387   | 8,522,517   | 8,085,954   | 8,563,286   | 8,563,286   |
| GLENDORA                                                                    | 1,720,466   | 1,626,701   | 1,050,239   | 1,465,802   | 1,465,802   |
| HAWAIIAN GARDENS                                                            | 147,624     | 433,266     | 400,238     | 327,043     | 327,043     |
| HAWTHORNE                                                                   | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| HERMOSA BEACH                                                               | 3,380,115   | 3,586,813   | 2,162,351   | 3,043,093   | 3,043,093   |
| HIDDEN HILLS                                                                | 27,472      | 45,144      | 39,469      | 37,362      | 37,362      |
| HUNTINGTON PARK                                                             | 1,191,582   | 1,179,006   | 1,041,999   | 1,137,529   | 1,137,529   |
| INDUSTRY                                                                    | 6,509,389   | 10,920,173  | 2,364,583   | 6,598,048   | 6,598,048   |
| INGLEWOOD                                                                   | 1,147,915   | 769,482     | 1,040,571   | 985,989     | 985,989     |
| IRWINDALE                                                                   | 690,386     | 348,110     | 482,197     | 506,898     | 506,898     |
| LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE                                                        | 2,202,159   | 2,173,240   | 3,185,572   | 2,520,324   | 2,520,324   |
| LA HABRA HEIGHTS                                                            | 78,124      | 92,148      | 51,737      | 74,003      | 74,003      |
| LAKEWOOD                                                                    | 4,495,301   | 4,909,682   | 5,129,413   | 4,844,799   | 4,844,799   |
| LA MIRADA                                                                   | 2,717,321   | 1,504,007   | 5,390,861   | 3,204,063   | 3,204,063   |
| LANCASTER                                                                   | 1,355,922   | 251,259     | 3,600,057   | 1,735,746   | 1,735,746   |
| LA PUENTE                                                                   | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| LA VERNE                                                                    | 2,449,828   | 1,390,038   | 978,452     | 1,606,106   | 1,606,106   |
| LAWNDALE                                                                    | 240,074     | 297,073     | 313,756     | 283,634     | 283,634     |
| LOMITA                                                                      | 108,983     | 204,404     | 529,884     | 281,090     | 281,090     |
| LONG BEACH                                                                  | 17,266,404  | 13,332,842  | 14,718,886  | 15,106,044  | 15,106,044  |
| LOS ANGELES                                                                 | 268,428,548 | 234,218,950 | 227,232,488 | 243,293,329 | 243,293,329 |



# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

|                              |            |            |                        |                      |            |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| LYNWOOD                      | 690,943    | 1,069,090  | 239,750                | 666,594              | 666,594    |
| MALIBU                       | 1,570,959  | 1,589,493  | 1,603,012              | 1,587,821            | 1,587,821  |
| MANHATTAN BEACH              | 3,800,614  | 4,283,738  | 4,582,318              | 4,222,223            | 4,222,223  |
| MAYWOOD                      | 318        | 5,723      | 288,209                | 98,083               | 98,083     |
| MONROVIA                     | 1,670,715  | 579,787    | (219,343) <sup>2</sup> | 750,167 <sup>2</sup> | 750,167    |
| MONTEBELLO                   | 1,028,926  | 2,183,626  | 4,101,819              | 2,438,124            | 2,438,124  |
| MONTEREY PARK                | 1,375,769  | 2,868,247  | 852,184                | 1,698,733            | 1,698,733  |
| NORWALK                      | 2,961,198  | 3,126,844  | 3,097,526              | 3,061,856            | 3,061,856  |
| PALMDALE                     | 7,207,726  | 3,252,531  | 2,708,944              | 4,389,734            | 4,389,734  |
| PALOS VERDES ESTATES         | 232,685    | 208,813    | 235,654                | 225,717              | 225,717    |
| PARAMOUNT                    | 709,398    | 507,778    | 619,790                | 612,322              | 612,322    |
| PASADENA                     | 3,391,198  | 4,098,719  | 8,685,000              | 5,391,639            | 5,391,639  |
| PICO RIVERA                  | 2,804,728  | 1,525,974  | 1,563,133              | 1,964,612            | 1,964,612  |
| POMONA                       | 3,443,306  | 2,684,809  | 2,773,505              | 2,967,207            | 2,967,207  |
| RANCHO PALOS VERDES          | 1,915,139  | 2,132,626  | 1,389,643              | 1,812,469            | 1,812,469  |
| REDONDO BEACH                | 2,685,065  | 2,014,566  | 1,393,607              | 2,031,079            | 2,031,079  |
| ROLLING HILLS <sup>(2)</sup> |            |            |                        |                      |            |
| ROLLING HILLS ESTATES        | 245,742    | 262,218    | 429,249                | 312,403              | 312,403    |
| ROSEMEAD                     | 161,229    | 8,088      | 0                      | 56,439               | 56,439     |
| SAN DIMAS                    | 2,063,869  | 2,926,431  | 2,398,471              | 2,462,924            | 2,462,924  |
| SAN FERNANDO                 | 349,463    | 41,713     | 208,286                | 199,821              | 199,821    |
| SAN GABRIEL                  | 1,428,104  | 1,236,396  | 1,414,819              | 1,359,773            | 1,359,773  |
| SAN MARINO                   | 2,808,488  | 2,618,345  | 2,061,737              | 2,496,190            | 2,496,190  |
| SANTA CLARITA                | 3,977,890  | 2,681,167  | 3,626,073              | 3,428,377            | 3,428,377  |
| SANTA FE SPRINGS             | 2,539,594  | 2,616,256  | 2,805,331              | 2,653,727            | 2,653,727  |
| SANTA MONICA                 | 15,407,478 | 12,321,512 | 23,382,752             | 17,037,247           | 17,037,247 |
| SIERRA MADRE                 | 160,704    | 146,406    | 148,388                | 151,833              | 151,833    |
| SIGNAL HILL                  | 2,165,291  | 1,892,342  | 1,462,885              | 1,840,173            | 1,840,173  |
| SOUTH EL MONTE               | 4,453      | 0          | 0                      | 1,484                | 1,484      |
| SOUTH GATE                   | 1,864,513  | 1,139,588  | 927,575                | 1,310,559            | 1,310,559  |
| SOUTH PASADENA               | 2,211,601  | 1,146,122  | 1,472,480              | 1,610,068            | 1,610,068  |
| TEMPLE CITY                  | 108,754    | 104,971    | 101,705                | 105,143              | 105,143    |
| TORRANCE                     | 12,671,207 | 11,975,231 | 11,532,040             | 12,059,493           | 12,059,493 |
| VERNON                       | 789,165    | 616,736    | 1,084,827              | 830,243              | 830,243    |
| WALNUT                       | 427,897    | 449,317    | 461,108                | 446,107              | 446,107    |
| WEST COVINA                  | 655,146    | 446,279    | 600,020                | 567,148              | 567,148    |
| WEST HOLLYWOOD               | 1,663,083  | 1,786,338  | 1,254,234              | 1,567,885            | 1,567,885  |

UPDATED 7 August 2017

CaliforniaCityFinance.com

Page 6 of 17



# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |                        |                     |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|
|                                                                             | FY2009-10 | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12              | Average             | Adjustment |
| RMRA M.O.E.                                                                 |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| <b>WESTLAKE VILLAGE</b>                                                     | 1,896,954 | 445,573   | 406,851                | 916,459             | 916,459    |
| <b>WHITTIER</b>                                                             | 3,291,161 | 3,540,982 | 3,190,556              | 3,340,900           | 3,340,900  |
| <b>MADERA COUNTY</b>                                                        |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| CHOWCHILLA                                                                  | 43,506    | 0         | 0                      | 14,502              | 14,502     |
| MADERA                                                                      | 260,628   | 121,158   | 212,755                | 198,180             | 198,180    |
| <b>MARIN COUNTY</b>                                                         |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| BELVEDERE                                                                   | 442,476   | 365,733   | 463,072                | 423,760             | 423,760    |
| CORTE MADERA                                                                | 1,665,313 | 2,604,247 | 1,722,747              | 1,997,436           | 1,997,436  |
| FAIRFAX                                                                     | 499,871   | 507,240   | 272,251                | 426,454             | 426,454    |
| LARKSPUR                                                                    | 1,129,598 | 1,125,382 | 948,999                | 1,067,993           | 1,067,993  |
| MILL VALLEY                                                                 | 1,530,604 | 970,048   | 1,186,390              | 1,229,014           | 1,229,014  |
| NOVATO                                                                      | 2,922,113 | 2,180,893 | 2,311,164              | 2,471,390           | 2,471,390  |
| ROSS                                                                        | 410,353   | 227,259   | 390,396                | 342,669             | 342,669    |
| SAN ANSELMO                                                                 | 610,326   | 812,227   | 771,124                | 731,226             | 731,226    |
| SAN RAFAEL                                                                  | 3,286,015 | 2,131,621 | 3,516,476              | 2,978,037           | 2,978,037  |
| SAUSALITO                                                                   | 1,268,822 | 416,372   | 759,721                | 814,972             | 814,972    |
| TIBURON                                                                     | 998,306   | 779,340   | 777,617                | 851,754             | 851,754    |
| <b>MARIPOSA COUNTY</b>                                                      |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| <b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>                                                     |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| FORT BRAGG                                                                  | 163,112   | 127,916   | (214,877) <sup>2</sup> | 97,009 <sup>2</sup> | 97,009     |
| POINT ARENA                                                                 | 35,143    | 39,596    | 19,392                 | 31,377              | 31,377     |
| UKIAH                                                                       | 1,015,454 | 1,018,434 | 938,535                | 990,808             | 990,808    |
| WILLITS                                                                     | 339,912   | 248,148   | 286,361                | 291,474             | 291,474    |
| <b>MERCED COUNTY</b>                                                        |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| ATWATER                                                                     | 332,849   | 341,145   | 192,298                | 288,764             | 288,764    |
| DOS PALOS                                                                   | 289,260   | 217,149   | 122,779                | 209,729             | 209,729    |
| GUSTINE                                                                     | 0         | 0         | 0                      | 0                   | 0          |
| LIVINGSTON                                                                  | 0         | 0         | 0                      | 0                   | 0          |
| LOS BANOS                                                                   | 865,482   | 555,964   | 0                      | 473,815             | 473,815    |
| MERCED                                                                      | 6,413,988 | 762,439   | 623,995                | 2,600,141           | 2,600,141  |
| <b>MODOC COUNTY</b>                                                         |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| ALTURAS                                                                     | 159,978   | 418,965   | 200,869                | 259,937             | 259,937    |
| <b>MONO COUNTY</b>                                                          |           |           |                        |                     |            |
| MAMMOTH LAKES                                                               | 2,188,565 | 3,451,879 | 2,432,446              | 2,690,963           | 2,690,963  |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## MONTEREY COUNTY

|                   |           |           |                      |                     |           |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA | 482,699   | 870,129   | 318,316              | 557,048             | 557,048   |
| DEL REY OAKS      | 50,083    | 50,083    | (3,660) <sup>2</sup> | 33,389 <sup>2</sup> | 33,389    |
| GONZALES          | 0         | 0         | 0                    | 0                   | 0         |
| GREENFIELD        | 0         | 0         | 0                    | 0                   | 0         |
| KING CITY         | 3,757     | 1,976     | 2,056                | 2,596               | 2,596     |
| MARINA            | 262,149   | 201,648   | 495,396              | 319,731             | 319,731   |
| MONTEREY          | 5,169,965 | 4,683,315 | 3,466,513            | 4,439,931           | 4,439,931 |
| PACIFIC GROVE     | 326,756   | 396,862   | 720,604              | 481,407             | 481,407   |
| SALINAS           | 2,566,632 | 2,012,218 | 2,022,712            | 2,200,521           | 2,200,521 |
| SAND CITY         | 536,176   | 428,197   | 470,280              | 478,218             | 478,218   |
| SEASIDE           | 321,933   | 450,000   | 133,952              | 301,962             | 301,962   |
| SOLEDAD           | 0         | 2,065     | 38,904               | 13,656              | 13,656    |

## NAPA COUNTY

|                 |           |           |           |           |           |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AMERICAN CANYON | 457,021   | 571,052   | 451,046   | 493,040   | 493,040   |
| CALISTOGA       | 244,564   | 447,910   | 128,796   | 273,757   | 273,757   |
| NAPA            | 3,330,763 | 5,449,318 | 3,652,302 | 4,144,128 | 4,144,128 |
| SAINT HELENA    | 284,378   | 376,263   | 283,073   | 314,571   | 314,571   |
| YOUNTVILLE      | 941,139   | 325,101   | 419,045   | 561,762   | 561,762   |

## NEVADA COUNTY

|              |           |           |           |           |           |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| GRASS VALLEY | 910,649   | 995,548   | 961,755   | 955,984   | 955,984   |
| NEVADA CITY  | 366,447   | 279,414   | 250,984   | 298,948   | 298,948   |
| TRUCKEE      | 3,517,946 | 3,942,314 | 3,579,115 | 3,679,792 | 3,679,792 |

ESTIMATED UNOFFICIAL

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## ORANGE COUNTY

|                      |            |            |            |            |            |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ALISO VIEJO          | 392,545    | 385,492    | 8,622      | 262,220    | 262,220    |
| ANAHEIM              | 22,543,428 | 15,141,040 | 15,562,104 | 17,748,857 | 17,748,857 |
| BREA                 | 1,343,161  | 1,205,851  | 1,356,635  | 1,301,882  | 1,301,882  |
| BUENA PARK           | 4,818,959  | 4,609,964  | 4,321,859  | 4,583,594  | 4,583,594  |
| COSTA MESA           | 6,324,674  | 6,298,168  | 6,334,994  | 6,319,279  | 6,319,279  |
| CYPRESS              | 6,634,618  | 8,021,830  | 9,617,722  | 8,091,390  | 8,091,390  |
| DANA POINT           | 6,452,565  | 4,176,300  | 4,745,582  | 5,124,816  | 5,124,816  |
| FOUNTAIN VALLEY      | 4,469,975  | 3,304,608  | 3,592,118  | 3,788,900  | 3,788,900  |
| FULLERTON            | 2,315,518  | 2,124,267  | 1,988,991  | 2,142,925  | 2,142,925  |
| GARDEN GROVE         | 4,825,510  | 3,931,859  | 4,263,722  | 4,340,364  | 4,340,364  |
| HUNTINGTON BEACH     | 8,190,597  | 6,350,941  | 7,705,371  | 7,415,636  | 7,415,636  |
| IRVINE               | 19,806,503 | 18,517,112 | 18,599,848 | 18,974,488 | 18,974,488 |
| LAGUNA BEACH         | 2,498,189  | 2,120,813  | 2,186,030  | 2,268,344  | 2,268,344  |
| LAGUNA HILLS         | 1,738,942  | 1,721,975  | 1,662,965  | 1,707,961  | 1,707,961  |
| LAGUNA NIGUEL        | 5,624,297  | 4,955,035  | 5,010,908  | 5,196,747  | 5,196,747  |
| LAGUNA WOODS         | 156,715    | 178,749    | 167,145    | 167,536    | 167,536    |
| LA HABRA             | 2,024,870  | 2,194,407  | 1,944,219  | 2,054,499  | 2,054,499  |
| LAKE FOREST          | 3,363,634  | 4,954,663  | 7,440,553  | 5,252,950  | 5,252,950  |
| LA PALMA             | 675,628    | 669,660    | 702,444    | 682,577    | 682,577    |
| LOS ALAMITOS         | 647,315    | 402,094    | 442,447    | 497,285    | 497,285    |
| MISSION VIEJO        | 3,489,970  | 5,242,822  | 6,398,197  | 5,043,663  | 5,043,663  |
| NEWPORT BEACH        | 13,756,884 | 12,846,504 | 12,623,532 | 13,075,640 | 13,075,640 |
| ORANGE               | 3,068,536  | 2,813,823  | 3,127,497  | 3,003,285  | 3,003,285  |
| PLACENTIA            | 717,757    | 1,058,594  | 707,690    | 828,014    | 828,014    |
| RANCHO SANTA MARGARI | 350,000    | 350,000    | 350,000    | 350,000    | 350,000    |
| SAN CLEMENTE         | 5,555,466  | 4,849,073  | 4,878,925  | 5,094,488  | 5,094,488  |
| SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO  | 1,871,804  | 1,845,703  | 1,753,210  | 1,823,572  | 1,823,572  |
| SANTA ANA            | 4,018,974  | 3,209,849  | 5,213,933  | 4,147,585  | 4,147,585  |
| SEAL BEACH           | 4,509,128  | 5,697,609  | 1,775,397  | 3,994,045  | 3,994,045  |
| STANTON              | 505,311    | 169,808    | 0          | 225,040    | 225,040    |
| TUSTIN               | 4,734,458  | 5,888,281  | 6,918,786  | 5,847,175  | 5,847,175  |
| VILLA PARK           | 1,965,258  | 1,972,153  | 1,094,534  | 1,677,315  | 1,677,315  |
| WESTMINSTER          | 2,105,380  | 2,031,851  | 2,668,697  | 2,268,643  | 2,268,643  |
| YORBA LINDA          | 2,281,209  | 2,254,158  | 2,194,251  | 2,243,206  | 2,243,206  |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## PLACER COUNTY

|           |           |           |           |           |           |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AUBURN    | 618,097   | 552,860   | 307,564   | 492,840   | 492,840   |
| COLFAX    | 0         | 0         | 1,176     | 392       | 392       |
| LINCOLN   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| LOOMIS    | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| ROCKLIN   | 161,531   | 513,070   | 628,776   | 434,459   | 434,459   |
| ROSEVILLE | 4,262,137 | 6,038,474 | 6,835,903 | 5,712,171 | 5,712,171 |

## PLUMAS COUNTY

|         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| PORTOLA | 146,671 | 184,184 | 128,542 | 153,132 | 153,132 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

## RIVERSIDE COUNTY

|                              |            |                 |                 |                     |            |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| BANNING                      | 316,181    | 38,587          | 133,164         | 162,644             | 162,644    |
| BEAUMONT                     | 0          | 586,825         | 1,552,970       | 713,265             | 713,265    |
| BLTYHE                       | 261,196    | 1,076,032       | 445,295         | 594,174             | 594,174    |
| CALIMESA                     | 77,232     | 64,501          | 0               | 47,244              | 47,244     |
| CANYON LAKE                  | 41,009     | 26,318          | 25,798          | 31,042              | 31,042     |
| CATHEDRAL CITY               | 1,033,190  | 574,077         | 1,080,111       | 895,793             | 895,793    |
| COACHELLA                    | 1,802,424  | 2,375,264       | 1,929,366       | 2,035,685           | 2,035,685  |
| CORONA                       | 3,424,971  | 2,989,106       | 2,986,573       | 3,133,550           | 3,133,550  |
| DESERT HOT SPRINGS           | 435,933    | 369,337         | 370,783         | 392,018             | 392,018    |
| EASTVALE (inc. 1 Oct 2010)   | n/a        | see notes below | see notes below | 59,787 <sup>4</sup> | 59,787     |
| HEMET                        | 18,924     | 0               | 0               | 9,462               | 9,462      |
| INDIAN WELLS                 | 1,075,582  | 1,115,139       | 3,318,722       | 1,836,481           | 1,836,481  |
| INDIO                        | 4,648,594  | 2,075,668       | 2,383,136       | 3,035,799           | 3,035,799  |
| JURUPA VALLEY (inc. 1 July 2 | n/a        | see notes below | see notes below | 0 <sup>4</sup>      | 0          |
| LAKE ELSINORE                | 1,198,185  | 1,367,576       | 1,165,691       | 1,243,817           | 1,243,817  |
| LA QUINTA                    | 2,798,095  | 1,031,556       | 1,590,929       | 1,806,860           | 1,806,860  |
| MENIFEE                      | 0          | 0               | 0               | 0                   | 0          |
| MORENO VALLEY                | 1,600,807  | 1,506,617       | 2,119,479       | 1,742,301           | 1,742,301  |
| MURRIETA                     | 785,317    | 1,510,212       | 1,660,517       | 1,318,682           | 1,318,682  |
| NORCO                        | 78,660     | 26,269          | 12,036          | 38,988              | 38,988     |
| PALM DESERT                  | 5,346,951  | 4,688,794       | 4,566,328       | 4,867,358           | 4,867,358  |
| PALM SPRINGS                 | 4,243,162  | 4,274,880       | 4,578,581       | 4,365,541           | 4,365,541  |
| PERRIS                       | 235,180    | 907,323         | 307,663         | 483,389             | 483,389    |
| RANCHO MIRAGE                | 1,815,441  | 3,458,223       | 3,506,707       | 2,926,790           | 2,926,790  |
| RIVERSIDE                    | 18,039,443 | 17,447,014      | 17,333,720      | 17,606,726          | 17,606,726 |
| SAN JACINTO                  | 543,637    | 735,080         | 730,303         | 669,673             | 669,673    |
| TEMECULA                     | 3,228,915  | 2,875,767       | 2,854,016       | 2,986,233           | 2,986,233  |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |           |           |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
|                                                                             | FY2009-10 | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average   | Adjustment |
| WILDOMAR                                                                    | 0         | 197,300   | 0         | 65,767    | 65,767     |
| <b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>                                                    |           |           |           |           |            |
| CITRUS HEIGHTS                                                              | 0         | 11,310    | 0         | 3,770     | 3,770      |
| ELK GROVE                                                                   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0          |
| FOLSOM                                                                      | 1,473,865 | 2,277,959 | 442,926   | 1,398,250 | 1,398,250  |
| GALT                                                                        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0          |
| ISLETON                                                                     | 50,190    | 0         | 0         | 16,730    | 16,730     |
| RANCHO CORDOVA                                                              | 747,343   | 720,065   | 2,194,804 | 1,220,737 | 1,220,737  |
| SACRAMENTO                                                                  | 7,449,889 | 1,433,525 | 1,005,704 | 3,296,373 | 3,296,373  |
| <b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>                                                    |           |           |           |           |            |
| HOLLISTER                                                                   | 458,122   | 60,920    | 99,852    | 206,298   | 206,298    |
| SAN JUAN BAUTISTA                                                           | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0          |
| <b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>                                                |           |           |           |           |            |
| ADELANTO                                                                    | 339,193   | 472,925   | 248,691   | 353,603   | 353,603    |
| APPLE VALLEY                                                                | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0          |
| BARSTOW                                                                     | 2,484,667 | 2,654,695 | 3,795,185 | 2,978,182 | 2,978,182  |
| BIG BEAR LAKE                                                               | 3,034,548 | 1,305,417 | 2,165,150 | 2,168,372 | 2,168,372  |
| CHINO                                                                       | 55,318    | 56,835    | 21,232    | 44,462    | 44,462     |
| CHINO HILLS                                                                 | 268,179   | 93,919    | 163,462   | 175,187   | 175,187    |
| COLTON                                                                      | 1,311,329 | 97,071    | 87,163    | 498,521   | 498,521    |
| FONTANA                                                                     | 9,846,854 | 3,699,217 | 2,575,569 | 5,373,880 | 5,373,880  |
| GRAND TERRACE                                                               | 58,467    | 0         | 0         | 19,489    | 19,489     |
| HESPERIA                                                                    | 680,722   | 506,594   | 479,895   | 555,737   | 555,737    |
| HIGHLAND                                                                    | 217,535   | 217,535   | 0         | 145,023   | 145,023    |
| LOMA LINDA                                                                  | 757,135   | 372,208   | 96,426    | 408,590   | 408,590    |
| MONTCLAIR                                                                   | 1,501,863 | 1,055,294 | 1,197,408 | 1,251,522 | 1,251,522  |
| NEEDLES                                                                     | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0          |
| ONTARIO                                                                     | 5,459,508 | 5,716,882 | 6,770,777 | 5,982,389 | 5,982,389  |
| RANCHO CUCAMONGA                                                            | 4,233,742 | 3,321,146 | 5,431,084 | 4,328,657 | 4,328,657  |
| REDLANDS                                                                    | 1,485,613 | 878,358   | 1,098,413 | 1,154,128 | 1,154,128  |
| RIALTO                                                                      | 839,950   | 18,397    | 998,174   | 618,840   | 618,840    |
| SAN BERNARDINO                                                              | 745,909   | 1,388,089 | 1,367,734 | 1,167,244 | 1,167,244  |
| TWENTYNINE PALMS                                                            | 56,265    | 34,045    | 104,590   | 64,967    | 64,967     |
| UPLAND                                                                      | 1,770,339 | 1,911,541 | 3,160,014 | 2,280,631 | 2,280,631  |
| VICTORVILLE                                                                 | 1,345,727 | 1,579,487 | 268,273   | 1,064,496 | 1,064,496  |
| YUCAIPA                                                                     | 2,093,399 | 1,429,872 | 682,027   | 1,401,766 | 1,401,766  |
| YUCCA VALLEY                                                                | 136,216   | 213,532   | 684,360   | 344,703   | 344,703    |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## SAN DIEGO COUNTY

|                |            |            |            |            |            |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| CARLSBAD       | 7,768,758  | 8,643,205  | 9,484,234  | 8,632,066  | 8,632,066  |
| CHULA VISTA    | 4,284,581  | 4,845,643  | 4,416,013  | 4,515,412  | 4,515,412  |
| CORONADO       | 1,130,992  | 1,467,113  | 1,298,946  | 1,299,017  | 1,299,017  |
| DEL MAR        | 557,690    | 439,548    | 546,371    | 514,536    | 514,536    |
| EL CAJON       | 2,562,928  | 1,961,613  | 1,710,408  | 2,078,316  | 2,078,316  |
| ENCINITAS      | 2,983,335  | 4,715,373  | 6,504,348  | 4,734,352  | 4,734,352  |
| ESCONDIDO      | 5,534,227  | 4,750,613  | 4,903,832  | 5,062,891  | 5,062,891  |
| IMPERIAL BEACH | 283,836    | 279,745    | 249,164    | 270,915    | 270,915    |
| LA MESA        | 2,815,965  | 3,050,420  | 3,140,439  | 3,002,275  | 3,002,275  |
| LEMON GROVE    | 247,142    | 271,524    | 386,339    | 301,668    | 301,668    |
| NATIONAL CITY  | 2,073,854  | 4,280,361  | 1,878,084  | 2,744,100  | 2,744,100  |
| OCEANSIDE      | 1,621,465  | 1,986,767  | 3,064,757  | 2,224,330  | 2,224,330  |
| POWAY          | 1,378,976  | 1,477,763  | 1,309,212  | 1,388,650  | 1,388,650  |
| SAN DIEGO      | 32,013,564 | 34,525,926 | 23,510,641 | 30,016,710 | 30,016,710 |
| SAN MARCOS     | 5,277,365  | 5,499,837  | 5,594,791  | 5,457,331  | 5,457,331  |
| SANTEE         | 720,361    | 641,629    | 788,451    | 716,814    | 716,814    |
| SOLANA BEACH   | 716,800    | 1,020,641  | 934,373    | 890,605    | 890,605    |
| VISTA          | 3,263,167  | 3,338,145  | 2,712,790  | 3,104,701  | 3,104,701  |

## SAN FRANCISCO COUNT

|               |            |            |            |            |            |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SAN FRANCISCO | 34,060,774 | 30,858,152 | 27,153,357 | 30,690,761 | 30,690,761 |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

## SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

|          |           |                          |                           |                      |           |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| ESCALON  | 485,821   | 225,909                  | 238,194                   | 316,641              | 316,641   |
| LATHROP  | 66,760    | 0                        | 0                         | 22,253               | 22,253    |
| LODI     | 1,129,948 | 1,382,113                | 1,063,781                 | 1,191,947            | 1,191,947 |
| MANTECA  | 1,513,358 | 1,090,716                | 768,606                   | 1,124,227            | 1,124,227 |
| RIPON    | 263,839   | (1,523,972) <sup>2</sup> | 0                         | 87,946 <sup>2</sup>  | 87,946    |
| STOCKTON | 2,722,388 | 0                        | (18,097,962) <sup>2</sup> | 907,463 <sup>2</sup> | 907,463   |
| TRACY    | 367,397   | 671,756                  | 433,812                   | 490,988              | 490,988   |

## SAN LUIS OBISPO COUN

|                   |           |           |           |           |           |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ARROYO GRANDE     | 1,337,039 | 1,313,030 | 1,645,843 | 1,431,971 | 1,431,971 |
| ATASCADERO        | 117,080   | 687,596   | 38,160    | 280,945   | 280,945   |
| EL PASO DE ROBLES | 1,263,548 | 1,317,288 | 771,728   | 1,117,521 | 1,117,521 |
| GROVER BEACH      | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| MORRO BAY         | 189,087   | 307,516   | 402,324   | 299,642   | 299,642   |
| PISMO BEACH       | 488,414   | 540,340   | 647,868   | 558,874   | 558,874   |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO   | 7,398,079 | 7,549,275 | 9,858,855 | 8,268,736 | 8,268,736 |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## SAN MATEO COUNTY

|                     |           |           |           |           |           |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ATHERTON            | 1,075,865 | 1,212,259 | 899,134   | 1,062,419 | 1,062,419 |
| BELMONT             | 1,196,905 | 1,043,314 | 185,181   | 808,467   | 808,467   |
| BRISBANE            | 588,741   | 570,931   | 583,646   | 581,106   | 581,106   |
| BURLINGAME          | 3,214,265 | 2,929,395 | 3,663,028 | 3,268,896 | 3,268,896 |
| COLMA               | 1,781,976 | 1,366,174 | 1,227,421 | 1,458,524 | 1,458,524 |
| DALY CITY           | 1,000,332 | 992,832   | 1,066,693 | 1,019,952 | 1,019,952 |
| EAST PALO ALTO      | 0         | 0         | 192,040   | 64,013    | 64,013    |
| FOSTER CITY         | 722,700   | 402,256   | 747,596   | 624,184   | 624,184   |
| HALF MOON BAY       | 794,639   | 273,097   | 1,632,951 | 900,229   | 900,229   |
| HILLSBOROUGH        | 1,202,601 | 1,000,490 | 888,905   | 1,030,665 | 1,030,665 |
| MENLO PARK          | 4,534,894 | 3,939,987 | 2,270,802 | 3,581,894 | 3,581,894 |
| MILLBRAE            | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| PACIFICA            | 989,904   | 1,049,391 | 679,430   | 906,242   | 906,242   |
| PORTOLA VALLEY      | 430,666   | 308,227   | 298,799   | 345,897   | 345,897   |
| REDWOOD CITY        | 9,559,696 | 8,167,391 | 8,079,182 | 8,602,090 | 8,602,090 |
| SAN BRUNO           | 491,000   | 492,615   | 449,103   | 477,573   | 477,573   |
| SAN CARLOS          | 434,449   | 449,212   | 734,364   | 539,342   | 539,342   |
| SAN MATEO           | 3,102,059 | 7,299,897 | 1,476,173 | 3,959,376 | 3,959,376 |
| SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO | 2,696,742 | 1,726,553 | 1,542,899 | 1,988,731 | 1,988,731 |
| WOODSIDE            | 551,419   | 536,005   | 500,000   | 529,141   | 529,141   |

## SANTA BARBARA COUN

|               |           |           |           |           |           |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BUELLTON      | 44,843    | 123,346   | 126,470   | 98,220    | 98,220    |
| CARPINTERIA   | 291,354   | 126,184   | 319,459   | 245,666   | 245,666   |
| GOLETA        | 698,506   | 724,174   | 705,871   | 709,517   | 709,517   |
| GUADALUPE     | 5,820     | 5,310     | 0         | 3,710     | 3,710     |
| LOMPOC        | 393,783   | 392,139   | 1,710,135 | 832,019   | 832,019   |
| SANTA BARBARA | 6,150,746 | 6,739,901 | 6,619,640 | 6,503,429 | 6,503,429 |
| SANTA MARIA   | 3,693,021 | 1,670,769 | 4,080,539 | 3,148,110 | 3,148,110 |
| SOLVANG       | 437,202   | 222,114   | 371,070   | 343,462   | 343,462   |



# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## SANTA CLARA COUNTY

|                 |            |            |            |            |            |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| CAMPBELL        | 3,717,163  | 158,052    | 164,017    | 1,346,411  | 1,346,411  |
| CUPERTINO       | 4,508,687  | 4,973,044  | 5,061,090  | 4,847,607  | 4,847,607  |
| GILROY          | 1,157,263  | 1,035,537  | 1,429,121  | 1,207,307  | 1,207,307  |
| LOS ALTOS       | 1,713,054  | 900,761    | 3,290,808  | 1,968,208  | 1,968,208  |
| LOS ALTOS HILLS | 1,835,280  | 1,602,571  | 1,609,247  | 1,682,366  | 1,682,366  |
| LOS GATOS       | 3,664,544  | 3,577,175  | 3,974,855  | 3,738,858  | 3,738,858  |
| MILPITAS        | 1,624,068  | 2,123,909  | 1,688,912  | 1,812,296  | 1,812,296  |
| MONTE SERENO    | 2,089      | 531        | 10,046     | 4,222      | 4,222      |
| MORGAN HILL     | 164,213    | 781,186    | 1,054,701  | 666,700    | 666,700    |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW   | 2,682,067  | 2,046,834  | 2,150,759  | 2,293,220  | 2,293,220  |
| PALO ALTO       | 7,875,495  | 10,427,085 | 10,023,114 | 9,441,898  | 9,441,898  |
| SAN JOSE        | 23,028,116 | 16,574,888 | 14,420,537 | 18,007,847 | 18,007,847 |
| SANTA CLARA     | 10,117,863 | 9,321,717  | 8,833,115  | 9,424,232  | 9,424,232  |
| SARATOGA        | 1,740,219  | 2,625,413  | 725,475    | 1,697,036  | 1,697,036  |
| SUNNYVALE       | 9,358,635  | 8,143,695  | 9,206,239  | 8,902,856  | 8,902,856  |

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

|               |           |           |           |           |           |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CAPITOLA      | 1,293,942 | 948,821   | 934,395   | 1,059,053 | 1,059,053 |
| SANTA CRUZ    | 2,914,473 | 2,639,804 | 2,808,666 | 2,787,648 | 2,787,648 |
| SCOTTS VALLEY | 338,260   | 265,213   | 273,147   | 292,207   | 292,207   |
| WATSONVILLE   | 1,100,944 | 1,013,529 | 1,034,286 | 1,049,586 | 1,049,586 |

## SHASTA COUNTY

|             |           |         |           |           |           |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ANDERSON    | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| REDDING     | 1,011,254 | 783,216 | 2,924,866 | 1,573,112 | 1,573,112 |
| SHASTA LAKE | 0         | 19,320  | 202,095   | 73,805    | 73,805    |

## SIERRA COUNTY

|          |        |        |        |        |        |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| LOYALTON | 21,968 | 18,308 | 26,975 | 22,417 | 22,417 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

## SISKIYOU COUNTY

|              |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| DORRIS       | 24,053  | 20,965  | 17,567  | 20,862  | 20,862  |
| DUNSMUIR     | 140,334 | 140,682 | 124,826 | 135,281 | 135,281 |
| ETNA         | 54,937  | 47,795  | 47,348  | 50,027  | 50,027  |
| FORT JONES   | 0       | 22,517  | 5,302   | 9,273   | 9,273   |
| MONTAGUE     | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| MOUNT SHASTA | 439,864 | 399,153 | 436,894 | 425,304 | 425,304 |
| TULELAKE     | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| WEED         | 352,743 | 280,764 | 278,106 | 303,871 | 303,871 |
| YREKA        | 521,174 | 460,001 | 282,466 | 421,214 | 421,214 |



# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## SOLANO COUNTY

|             |           |           |           |           |           |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BENICIA     | 1,857,969 | 1,679,980 | 1,479,646 | 1,672,532 | 1,672,532 |
| DIXON       | 1,179,516 | 848,315   | 673,682   | 900,504   | 900,504   |
| FAIRFIELD   | 8,766,267 | 3,894,180 | 3,872,451 | 5,510,966 | 5,510,966 |
| RIO VISTA   | 217,366   | 99,953    | 118,291   | 145,203   | 145,203   |
| SUISUN CITY | 30,621    | 0         | 0         | 10,207    | 10,207    |
| VACAVILLE   | 2,522,502 | 1,569,382 | 1,571,808 | 1,887,897 | 1,887,897 |
| VALLEJO     | 985,323   | 1,040,209 | 369,330   | 798,287   | 798,287   |

## SONOMA COUNTY

|              |           |           |           |           |           |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CLOVERDALE   | 156,010   | 5,169     | 293,365   | 151,515   | 151,515   |
| COTATI       | 60,115    | 51,040    | 31,544    | 47,566    | 47,566    |
| HEALDSBURG   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| PETALUMA     | 7,322,338 | 993,999   | 495,748   | 2,937,362 | 2,937,362 |
| ROHNERT PARK | 1,025,979 | 828,585   | 981,407   | 945,324   | 945,324   |
| SANTA ROSA   | 6,468,129 | 8,800,507 | 5,678,335 | 6,982,324 | 6,982,324 |
| SEBASTOPOL   | 245,648   | 129,744   | 159,486   | 178,293   | 178,293   |
| SONOMA       | 404,756   | 347,232   | 0         | 250,663   | 250,663   |
| WINDSOR      | 724,561   | 1,797,156 | 2,696,772 | 1,739,496 | 1,739,496 |

## STANISLAUS COUNTY

|           |           |         |           |           |           |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CERES     | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| HUGHSON   | 136,680   | 132,119 | 189,270   | 152,690   | 152,690   |
| MODESTO   | 3,530,841 | 959,975 | 1,812,175 | 2,100,997 | 2,100,997 |
| NEWMAN    | 0         | 0       | 219,381   | 73,127    | 73,127    |
| OAKDALE   | 153,977   | 60,722  | 0         | 71,566    | 71,566    |
| PATTERSON | 572,796   | 481,166 | 501,794   | 518,585   | 518,585   |
| RIVERBANK | 336,919   | 134,230 | 180,178   | 217,109   | 217,109   |
| TURLOCK   | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| WATERFORD | 0         | 147,216 | 25,913    | 57,710    | 57,710    |

## SUTTER COUNTY

|           |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| LIVE OAK  | 3,923 | 3,923 | 3,923 | 3,923 | 3,923 |
| YUBA CITY | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |

## TEHAMA COUNTY

|           |         |         |         |         |         |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CORNING   | 232,529 | 185,640 | 285,173 | 234,447 | 234,447 |
| RED BLUFF | 495,197 | 293,275 | 525,779 | 438,084 | 438,084 |
| TEHAMA    | 3,601   | 1,102   | 430     | 1,711   | 1,711   |

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>  
revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |

## TRINITY COUNTY TULARE COUNTY

|              |           |           |                        |                      |           |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| DINUBA       | 57,000    | 70,000    | 120,000                | 82,333               | 82,333    |
| EXETER       | 637,515   | 58,441    | 83,984                 | 259,980              | 259,980   |
| FARMERSVILLE | 0         | 0         | 0                      | 0                    | 0         |
| LINDSAY      | 154,202   | 397,100   | (758,524) <sup>2</sup> | 183,767 <sup>2</sup> | 183,767   |
| PORTERVILLE  | 1,182,108 | 850,182   | 1,248,670              | 1,093,653            | 1,093,653 |
| TULARE       | 1,752,448 | 2,255,760 | 695,425                | 1,567,878            | 1,567,878 |
| VISALIA      | 2,699,377 | 2,631,980 | 2,413,037              | 2,581,465            | 2,581,465 |
| WOODLAKE     | 24,478    | 0         | 0                      | 8,159                | 8,159     |

## TUOLUMNE COUNTY

|        |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| SONORA | 459,266 | 383,799 | 344,989 | 396,018 | 396,018 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

## VENTURA COUNTY

|                  |                        |           |           |                     |           |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| CAMARILLO        | 5,331,295              | 4,979,260 | 3,428,772 | 4,579,776           | 4,579,776 |
| FILLMORE         | (185,162) <sup>2</sup> | 156,008   | 0         | 52,003 <sup>2</sup> | 52,003    |
| MOORPARK         | 242                    | 119,733   | 160,815   | 93,597              | 93,597    |
| OJAI             | 102,182                | 29,086    | 186,093   | 105,787             | 105,787   |
| OXNARD           | 5,213,999              | 5,498,706 | 3,773,725 | 4,828,810           | 4,828,810 |
| PORT HUENEME     | 1,146,207              | 1,068,356 | 1,088,406 | 1,100,990           | 1,100,990 |
| SAN BUENAVENTURA | 4,813,188              | 4,566,749 | 5,082,875 | 4,820,937           | 4,820,937 |
| SANTA PAULA      | 60,816                 | 27,770    | 22,770    | 37,119              | 37,119    |
| SIMI VALLEY      | 5,381,507              | 3,247,812 | 2,800,262 | 3,809,860           | 3,809,860 |
| THOUSAND OAKS    | 5,375,524              | 5,741,951 | 5,756,860 | 5,624,778           | 5,624,778 |

## YOLO COUNTY

|                 |           |           |           |           |           |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| DAVIS           | 2,204,650 | 2,280,231 | 1,980,047 | 2,154,976 | 2,154,976 |
| WEST SACRAMENTO | 932,681   | 1,166,477 | 336,459   | 811,872   | 811,872   |
| WINTERS         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| WOODLAND        | 637,225   | 1,588,429 | 2,400,473 | 1,542,042 | 1,542,042 |

## YUBA COUNTY

|            |         |         |         |         |         |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MARYSVILLE | 424,889 | 441,426 | 724,393 | 530,236 | 530,236 |
| WHEATLAND  | 1,700   | 0       | 0       | 567     | 567     |

|                 |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Statewide Total | \$ 1,232,281,068 | \$ 1,103,010,080 | \$ 1,059,032,801 | \$ 1,138,746,213 | \$ 1,138,746,213 |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

# Maintenance of Effort - General Fund for Streets & Roads - Estimated Unofficial

Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account per Streets & Hwys Code Sec 2036 <sup>1</sup>

revised est: 08/07/2017

| Streets and Roads Annual Report - Reported General Fund for Street Purposes |           |           |         |            |             |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|--|
| FY2009-10                                                                   | FY2010-11 | FY2011-12 | Average | Adjustment | RMRA M.O.E. |  |

## Notes:

1. "In order to receive an allocation or apportionment pursuant to Section 2032, the city or county shall annually expend from its general fund for street, road, and highway purposes an amount not less than the annual average of its expenditures from its general fund during the 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 fiscal years, as reported to the Controller pursuant to Section 2151. For purposes of this subdivision, in calculating a city's or county's annual general fund expenditures and its average general fund expenditures for the 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2011-12 fiscal years, any unrestricted funds that the city or county may expend at its discretion, including vehicle in-lieu tax revenues and revenues from fines and forfeitures, expended for street, road, and highway purposes shall be considered expenditures from the general fund. One-time allocations that have been expended for street and highway purposes, but which may not be available on an ongoing basis, including revenue provided under the Teeter Plan Bond Law of 1994 (Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 54773) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code), may not be considered when calculating a city's or county's annual general fund expenditures." - Streets and Highways Code Sec 2036(b)

Source data: SCO Annual Streets and Roads Reports at [http://www.sco.ca.gov/ard\\_locrep\\_streets.html](http://www.sco.ca.gov/ard_locrep_streets.html) Table 5 "Discretionary." Also See Table 3.

2. Assumes negative values treated as zero expenditures for that year.

3. Although RMRA funds do not begin flowing until after January 2018, the full MOE is required for FY2017-18 and subsequent years.

4. "For any city incorporated after July 1, 2009, the Controller shall calculate an annual average expenditure for the period between July 1, 2009, and December 31, 2015, inclusive, that the city was incorporated." - Streets and Highways Code Sec 2036(c) Two cities, Eastvale (1 Oct 2010) and Jurupa Valley (1 July 2011), incorporated after July 1, 2009.

|               | <u>2010-11*</u> | <u>2011-12</u> | <u>2012-13</u> | <u>2013-14</u> | <u>2014-15</u> | <u>7thru12.'15*</u> | <u>MOE</u> |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| Eastvale      | 16,270          | 41,471         | 88,331         | 58,525         | 64,231         | 45,052              | 59,787     |
| monthly       | 1,808           | 3,456          | 7,361          | 4,877          | 5,353          | 7,509               |            |
| mo's in calc  | 9               | 12             | 12             | 12             | 12             | 6                   |            |
|               |                 | <u>2011-12</u> | <u>2012-13</u> | <u>2013-14</u> | <u>2014-15</u> | <u>2015-16*</u>     | <u>MOE</u> |
| Jurupa Valley |                 | -              | -              | -              | -              | -                   | -          |

**Senate Bill (SB) 1 Proposed Project List Form**

## Local Streets and Roads Program

| Agency Name: |      | Agency Contact:              |
|--------------|------|------------------------------|
| St Helena    |      | Erica Ahmann Smithies        |
|              |      | (707) 968-2629               |
| LoCode:      | 5051 | esmithies@cityofsthelena.org |

|              |
|--------------|
| FY           |
| <b>17/18</b> |

### Summary of Proposed Project List

| Project No. | Project Title                 | Project Description                                    | Project Location                        | Estimated Completion Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |              | Estimated Useful Life<br>(# of yrs) |      |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------|
|             |                               |                                                        |                                         | Pre-Construction                          | Construction | Min.                                | Max. |
| PP01        | Pavement Restoration Project  | Overlay 0.41 miles, upgrade curb ramps and striping    | Kennedy Court, El Bonita, and La Quinta | 05/2018                                   | 06/2018      | 7                                   | 15   |
| PP02        | Oak Street Storm Drain Repair | Replace storm drain, backfill trenches, and repair the | Oak Street between Mitchell Drive and   | 10/2017                                   | 11/2017      | 7                                   | 50   |
| PP03        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP04        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP05        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP06        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP07        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP08        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP09        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP10        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP11        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP12        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP13        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP14        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP15        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP16        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP17        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP18        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP19        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP20        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP21        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP22        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP23        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP24        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |
| PP25        |                               |                                                        |                                         |                                           |              |                                     |      |

**CITY OF ST. HELENA**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2017-**

**APPROVING THE ROAD MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION  
ACCOUNT PROJECT LIST (FY 2017/18)**

**RECITALS**

- A. Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017) was passed by the Legislature and Signed into law by the Governor in April 2017 in order to address the significant multi-modal transportation funding shortfalls statewide; and
- B. SB 1 includes accountability and transparency provisions that will ensure the residents of our City are aware of the projects proposed for funding in our community and which projects have been completed each fiscal year; and
- C. The City must include a list of all projects proposed to receive funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by SB 1, in the City budget, which must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for the project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement; and
- D. The City, will receive an estimated \$40,893 in RMRA funding in Fiscal Year 2017-18 from SB 1; and
- E. Prior to receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds in FY 17/18, the City is required to submit to the California Transportation Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded by October 16, 2017; and
- F. Staff has recommended that the FY 17/18 RMRA funds be utilized for the Pavement Restoration Project R18-79 and Oak Avenue Storm Drain Repair R18-82; and

**RESOLUTION**

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of St. Helena resolves as follows:

- 1. Finds the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to CEQA section 15301, Existing Facilities Class 1 which exempts the operation, repair, maintenance, and permitting of existing facilities involving negligible or no expansion of use including publicly owned utilities; and
- 2. Approves the following Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Project List for FY 17-18:
  - a. Pavement Restoration Project R18-79  
Location: Kennedy Court, El Bonita and La Quinta Way  
Description: Overlay, Curb Ramps and Restriping

Estimated Useful life: 7-15 years

Estimated Project Completion: July 2018

b. Oak Avenue Storm Drain Repair R18-82

Location: Oak Street from Spring Street to Mitchell Drive

Description: Storm Drain Replacement and Street Repair

Estimated Useful life: 7-50 years

Estimated Project Completion: November 2017

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that this Resolution was adopted at a special meeting of the City Council held on October 11, 2017 by the following roll call vote:

**Mayor Galbraith:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Vice Mayor White:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Councilmember Dohring:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Councilmember Ellsworth:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Councilmember Koberstein:**

\_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alan Galbraith, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cindy Tzaopoulos, City Clerk